arXiv:1604.00686v1 [math.AG] 3 Apr 2016

SINGULARITIES OF THE BIEXTENSION METRIC FOR FAMILIES OF ABELIAN VARIETIES

JOSÉ IGNACIO BURGOS GIL, DAVID HOLMES, AND ROBIN DE JONG

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the singularities of the invariant metric of the Poincaré bundle over a family of abelian varieties and their duals over a base of arbitrary dimension. As an application of this study we prove the effectiveness of the height jump divisors for families of pointed abelian varieties. The effectiveness of the height jump divisor was conjectured by Hain in the more general case of variations of polarized Hodge structures of weight -1.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
1.1. Families of curves 1
1.2. Admissible variations of Hodge structures 3
1.3. Statement of the main results 4
1.4. Overview of the paper 7
2. Preliminary results 7
2.1. Lear extensions 7
2.2. Poincaré bundle and its metric 8
2.3. Nilpotent orbit theorem 15
2.4. Families of pointed polarized abelian varieties 18
3. Normlike functions 23
3.1. Some definitions 23
3.2. Statement of the technical lemma 25
3.3. Proof of the technical lemma 26
3.4. On the recession function of a normlike function 32
4. Proofs of the main results 34
4.1. Singularities of the biextension metric 34
4.2. The Lear extension made explicit 36
4.3. Local integrability 37
4.4. Effectivity of the height jump divisor 38
References 39

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Families of curves.** By way of motivation of the general results in this paper, consider the following situation. Let X be a smooth complex algebraic variety of dimension n, and let $\pi\colon Y \to X$ be a family of smooth projective curves

Partially supported by the MINECO research projects MTM2013-42135-P and ICMAT Severo Ochoa project SEV-2015-0554 and the DFG project SFB 1085 "Higher Invariants".

parametrized by X. Let A, B be two relative degree zero divisors on $Y \to X$, with disjoint support. To these divisors we can associate a function $h\colon X \to \mathbb{R}$, given by the archimedean component of the height pairing

$$h(x) = \langle A_x, B_x \rangle_\infty \,,$$

where $x \in X$. Let $X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$ be a smooth compactification of X with $D = \overline{X} \setminus X$ a normal crossings divisor. We are interested in the behavior of the function h close to the boundary divisor D. As is customary to do, we assume that the monodromy operators on the homology of the fibers of $Y \to X$ about all irreducible components of D are unipotent. Let x_0 be a point of $\overline{X}$, and $U \xrightarrow{\sim} \Delta^n$ a small enough coordinate neighborhood of x_0 such that $D \cap U$ is given by $q_1 \cdots q_k = 0$. Thanks to a result of D. Lear [13], there exist a continuous function $h_0\colon U \setminus D^{\mathrm{sing}} \to \mathbb{R}$ and rational numbers $f_1, \ldots, f_k$ such that on $U \setminus D$ the equality

$$h(q_1, \ldots, q_n) = h_0(q_1, \ldots, q_n) - \sum_{i=1}^{k} f_i \log |q_i| \tag{1.1}$$

holds. Since h_0 is continuous on $U \setminus D^{\mathrm{sing}}$, this determines the behavior of h close to the smooth points of D. The question remains what happens when we approach a point of D^{sing}. In other words, what kind of singularities may h_0 have on D^{sing}?

From work by G. Pearlstein [15] a strengthening of Lear's result emerges. Let $x_0 \in \overline{X}$ be as above. Then there exists a homogeneous weight one function $f \in \mathbb{Q}(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$ such that the following holds. Consider a holomorphic test curve $\overline{\phi}\colon \overline{C} \to \overline{X}$ that has image not contained in D, a point $0 \in \overline{C}$ such that $\overline{\phi}(0) = x_0$, and a local analytic coordinate t for $\overline{C}$ close to 0. Assume that $\overline{\phi}$ is given locally by

$$t \mapsto \big(t^{m_1} u_1(t), \ldots, t^{m_k} u_k(t), q_{k+1}(t), \ldots, q_n(t)\big),$$

where $m_1, \ldots, m_k$ are non-negative integers, $u_1, \ldots, u_k$ are invertible functions and $q_{k+1}, \ldots, q_n$ are arbitrary holomorphic functions. Then the asymptotic estimate

$$h(\overline{\phi}(t)) = b'(t) - f(m_1, \ldots, m_k) \log |t| \tag{1.2}$$

holds in a neighborhood of $0 \in \overline{C}$. Here b' is a continuous function that extends continuously over 0.

Naively one might expect that the function f is linear and $f(m_1, \ldots, m_k)$ is just a linear combination of the numbers f_i with coefficients given by the multiplicities m_i of the curve $\overline{C}$. In general, however this turns out not to be the case. Examples of non-linear f can be found in [3] and [6]. In [1], [3] and [11] one finds a combinatorial interpretation of the function f in terms of potential theory on the dual graphs of stable curves.

As a special case of one of the main results of this paper we will have a stronger asymptotic estimate. Namely

$$h(q_1, \ldots, q_n) = b(q_1, \ldots, q_n) + f(-\log |q_1|, \ldots, -\log |q_k|)$$

on $U \setminus D$, where $b\colon U \setminus D \to \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded continuous function that extends in a continuous manner over $U \setminus D^{\mathrm{sing}}$. The boundedness of b can be seen as a uniformity property on the asymptotic estimates for different test curves. In general, b can not be extended continuously to D^{sing}, thus the boundedness of b is the strongest estimate that can hoped for.

One may ask for further properties of h. For example, a result of T. Hayama and G. Pearlstein [10, Theorem 1.18] implies that h is locally integrable. Another question is whether the same can be said about the forms ∂h and $\partial\bar{\partial}h$ and their powers. As seen in [6] in the two-dimensional case this may lead to interesting intersection numbers between infinite towers of divisors. We plan to address this question in full generality in a subsequent work. In this paper we will focus on the one-dimensional case because it is the only case needed to treat conjecture 1.2 below. Thus assume that the dimension of X is one. Let h_0 be the function appearing in equation (1.1). Then, the 1-form ∂h_0 is locally integrable on U with zero residue. Moreover the 2-form $\partial\bar{\partial}h_0$ is locally integrable on U.

1.2. **Admissible variations of Hodge structures.** The correct general setting for approaching these issues is to consider an admissible variation of polarized pure Hodge structures $\boldsymbol{H}$ of weight -1 over X, see for instance [8] and [9]. Let $\boldsymbol{H}^\vee$ be the dual variation. Let $J(\boldsymbol{H}) \to X$ and $J(\boldsymbol{H}^\vee) \to X$ be the corresponding families of intermediate jacobians. Then on $J(\boldsymbol{H}) \underset{X}{\times} J(\boldsymbol{H}^\vee)$ one has a Poincaré (biextension) bundle $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{H})$ with its canonical (biextension) metric. The polarization induces an isogeny of complex tori $\lambda\colon J(\boldsymbol{H}) \to J(\boldsymbol{H}^\vee)$. Let $\nu, \mu\colon X \to J(\boldsymbol{H})$ be two sections (with good behavior near D - more precisely, *normal function sections*). Then we define

$$L = \mathcal{P}_{\nu,\mu} \overset{\text{def}}{=} (\nu, \lambda\mu)^*\mathcal{P}\,,$$

a metrized line bundle on X. We put $\mathcal{P}_\nu = \mathcal{P}_{\nu,\nu}$. This "diagonal" case will be of special interest to us. One important example, discussed at length in [9], is given by the normal function in $J(\bigwedge^3 H_1(Y_x)) = H_3(J(Y_x))$ associated to the Ceresa cycle $[Y_x] - [-Y_x]$ in $J(Y_x)$, for a family of curves $Y \to X$.

A second example is provided by the sections determined by two relative degree zero divisors on a family of smooth projective curves, as above. Let $\boldsymbol{H}$ be the local system given by the homology of the fibers of the family of curves $Y \to X$. Then $J(\boldsymbol{H})$ is the usual jacobian fibration associated to $Y \to X$. It is (principally) polarized in a canonical way. The divisors A, B give rise to sections ν, μ of $J(\boldsymbol{H}) \to X$. Let $\langle A, B\rangle$ be the Deligne pairing [7] on X associated with the line bundles $\mathcal{O}(A)$ and $\mathcal{O}(B)$. The metric on $\langle A, B\rangle$ is determined by the archimedean height pairing $\langle A, B\rangle_\infty$. Moreover we have a canonical isometry

$$\langle A, B\rangle^{\otimes -1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}_{\nu,\mu}\,.$$

Thus the singularity of a local generating section of $\mathcal{P}_{\nu,\mu}$ near x_0 in the biextension metric precisely gives the singularity of the function h near x_0 as discussed above.

Returning to the general set-up, the result of Lear [9] [13] is that some power $L^{\otimes N}$ extends as a continuously metrized line bundle over $\overline{X} \setminus D^{\text{sing}}$. Here we need to impose the condition that the monodromy operators on the fibers of $\boldsymbol{H}$ about all irreducible components of D are unipotent. We denote the resulting $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundle (the Lear extension, see below) by $\Big[L, ||-||\Big]_{\overline{X}}$. In general we are interested in the behavior of the biextension metric on $L^{\otimes N}$ when we approach a point x_0 in the singular locus D^{sing}. Let s be a local generating section of $L = \mathcal{P}_{\nu,\mu}$ on $U \cap X$. By [15, Theorem 5.19] there exists a homogeneous weight one function $f \in \mathbb{Q}(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$ such that for each holomorphic test curve $\overline{\phi}\colon \overline{C} \to \overline{X}$

the asymptotic estimate

$$-\log\|s(\overline{\phi}(t))\| = b'(t) - f(m_1,\dots,m_k)\log|t|$$

holds in a neighborhood V of $0 \in \overline{C}$, with $b'(t)$ continuous on V.

In the case where the variation $\boldsymbol{H}$ is pure of type $(-1,0),(0,-1)$, that is, the family $J(\boldsymbol{H}) \to X$ is a family of polarized abelian varieties, we are able to strengthen this result of Pearlstein's.

1.3. **Statement of the main results.** Let $(q_1,\dots,q_n)\colon U \xrightarrow{\sim} \Delta^n$ be a coordinate chart on $\overline{X}$ such that $D \cap U = \{q_1\cdots q_k = 0\}$. Denote by D_i the local component of D with equation given by $q_i = 0$.

For any $0 < \epsilon < 1$ write

$$U_\epsilon = \{(q_1,\dots,q_n) \in U : |q_i| < \epsilon \quad \text{for all} \quad i = 1,\dots,n\}.$$

Note that $U_\epsilon \cap X$ is identified via the coordinate chart with $(\Delta_\epsilon^*)^k \times \Delta_\epsilon^{n-k}$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\boldsymbol{H}$ be an admissible variation of polarized pure Hodge structures of type $(-1,0),(0,-1)$ on X. Assume that the monodromy operators on the fibers of $\boldsymbol{H}$ about the irreducible components of D are unipotent. Let $\nu,\mu\colon X \to J(\boldsymbol{H})$ be two algebraic sections. There exist an integer d, a homogeneous polynomial $Q \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1,\dots,x_k]$ of degree d with no zeroes on $\mathbb{R}^k_{>0}$ and, for each local generating section s of $\mathcal{P}_{\nu,\mu}$ over $U \cap X$, a homogeneous polynomial $P_s \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1,\dots,x_k]$ of degree $d+1$ such that the homogeneous weight one rational function $f_s = P_s/Q$ satisfies the following properties.*

(1) For all $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ small enough, the function

$$b(q_1,\dots,q_n) = -\log||s|| - f_s(-\log|q_1|,\dots,-\log|q_k|)$$

is bounded on $U_\epsilon \cap X$ and extends continuously over $U_\epsilon \setminus D^{\mathrm{sing}}$.

(2) The function f_s is uniquely determined by the previous property. Moreover, if s' is another section such that

$$\operatorname{div}(s'/s) = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i D_i,$$

then the difference

$$f_{s'} - f_s = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i(-\log|q_i|)$$

is linear in the variables $-\log|q_i|$.

(3) The function $f_s\colon \mathbb{R}^k_{>0} \to \mathbb{R}$ extends to a continuous function $\overline{f}_s\colon \mathbb{R}^k_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}$.

(4) In the case that $\mu = \nu$, the function f_s is convex as a function on $\mathbb{R}^k_{>0}$ and the function $\overline{f}_s$ is convex as a function on $\mathbb{R}^k_{\geq 0}$.

Example 3.3 below will show that, in general, the locus of indeterminacy D^{sing} of b can not be reduced to a smaller set.

As to local integrability, R. Hain has made the following conjecture (see [9, Conjecture 6.4]). Assume we work with an arbitrary polarized variation of Hodge structures $(\boldsymbol{H},\lambda)$ of weight -1, with Poincaré bundle $\mathcal{P}$.

Conjecture 1.2 (Hain)**.** Write $\hat{\mathcal{P}} = (\mathrm{id}, \lambda)^*\mathcal{P}$ and let $\omega = c_1(\hat{\mathcal{P}})$ be the first Chern form of the pullback of the Poincaré bundle with its canonical metric. Assume that X is a curve. Let $L = \mathcal{P}_\nu = \nu^*\hat{\mathcal{P}}$ with induced metric $||-||$ and let $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be such that $L^{\otimes N}$ extends as a continuous metrized line bundle over $\overline{X}$. Let $c_1\left(\left[L^{\otimes N}, ||-||\right]_{\overline{X}}\right)$ be the first Chern class of the extended line bundle $\left[L^{\otimes N}, ||-||\right]_{\overline{X}}$. Then the 2-form $\nu^*\omega$ is integrable on $\overline{X}$, and the equality

$$\int_X \nu^*\omega = \frac{1}{N}\int_{\overline{X}} c_1\left(\left[L^{\otimes N}, ||-||\right]_{\overline{X}}\right)$$

holds.

Note that $\nu^*\omega = c_1(\mathcal{P}_\nu)$, and that the integral on the right hand side equals $\frac{1}{N}\deg_{\overline{X}}\left[L^{\otimes N}, ||-||\right]_{\overline{X}}$. We prove the following result, which implies Hain's conjecture in the case of an admissible variation of polarized Hodge structure of type $(-1,0),(0,-1)$.

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that the admissible variation $\boldsymbol{H}$ over X is pure of type $(-1,0),(0,-1)$, and that the monodromy operators on the fibers of $\boldsymbol{H}$ about all irreducible components of D are unipotent. Let s be a local generating section of $\mathcal{P}_{\nu,\mu}$ on $U \cap X$ and assume that* $\dim X = 1$*. Write*

$$-\log\|s\| = b(z) - r\log|t|$$

on $U \cap X$ with $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ and with b bounded continuous on U, as can be done by the existence of the Lear extension of $\mathcal{P}_{\nu,\mu}$ over $\overline{X}$. Then the 1-form ∂b is locally integrable on U with zero residue. Moreover the 2-form $\partial\bar{\partial}b$ is locally integrable on U.

As also $\partial\bar{\partial}\log|t|$ is locally integrable, we find that $\partial\bar{\partial}\log||s||$ is locally integrable. Since moreover the 1-form ∂b has no residue on U, so that $d[\bar{\partial}b] = [\partial\bar{\partial}b]$, upon globalizing using bump functions and applying Stokes' theorem we find

$$\int_X c_1(\mathcal{P}_{\nu,\mu}) = \frac{1}{N}\int_{\overline{X}} c_1\left(\left[\mathcal{P}_{\nu,\mu}^{\otimes N}, ||-||\right]_{\overline{X}}\right).$$

In the diagonal case, we mention that by [9, Theorem 13.1] or [14, Theorem 8.2] the metric on $\mathcal{P}_\nu$ is non-negative. Thus the conjecture implies that actually the inequality

$$\deg\left[\mathcal{P}_\nu, ||-||\right]_{\overline{X}} \geq 0 \tag{1.3}$$

holds. We also mention that in a letter to P. Griffiths, G. Pearlstein sketches a proof of Conjecture 1.2, and hence of the inequality (1.3), without the assumption that the type be $(-1,0),(0,-1)$.

We return again to the setting where the parameter space X is of any dimension. However, we specialize to the "diagonal" case where $\mu = \nu$. Consider a test curve $\overline{\phi}\colon \overline{C} \to \overline{X}$ that has image not contained in D, and a point $0 \in \overline{C}$ such that $\overline{\phi}(0) = x_0$. Let ϕ denote the restriction of $\overline{\phi}$ to $\overline{C} \setminus \overline{\phi}^{-1}D$. The line bundle

$$\left[\phi^*(\mathcal{P}_\nu, ||-||)\right]_{\overline{C}}^{\otimes -1} \otimes \overline{\phi}^*\left[\mathcal{P}_\nu, ||-||\right]_{\overline{X}}$$

has a canonical non-zero rational section, as it is canonically trivial over $\overline{C} \setminus \overline{\phi}^{-1} D$. We call its divisor the height jump divisor $J = J_{\phi,\nu}$ on $\overline{C}$. R. Hain has the following conjecture (see [9, end of §14]).

Conjecture 1.4. For all holomorphic test curves $\overline{\phi}\colon \overline{C} \to \overline{X}$ with image not contained in D, the height jump divisor $J = J_{\phi,\nu}$ on $\overline{C}$ is effective.

Let $0 \in \overline{C}$ be a point mapping to a point $x_0 \in \overline{X} \setminus X$. Choose coordinates in a neighbourhood x_0 as in Theorem 1.1 so that x_0 has coordinates $(0, \dots, 0)$ and let $\overline{f}_s$ be as in that theorem. Locally around 0 the map $\overline{\phi}$ can be written as

$$\overline{\phi}(t) = (t^{m_1} u_1(t), \dots, t^{m_k} u_k(t), q_{k+1}(t), \dots, q_n(t)),$$

where, for $i \in [1,k]$, $m_i > 0$ and $u_i(0) \neq 0$. Write $\overline{f}_{s,i} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{f}_s(0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ (the 1 placed in the i-th spot), then

$$\operatorname{ord}_0 J = -\overline{f}_s(m_1, \dots, m_k) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} m_i \overline{f}_{s,i}\,.$$

The rational number $\operatorname{ord}_0 J$ is called the "height jump" associated to the curve $\overline{C}$ and the point $0 \in \overline{C}$. The fact that the height jump may be non-zero was first observed by R. Hain [9] and has been explained by P. Brosnan and G. Pearlstein [5]. We find that the height jumps precisely when f_s is not linear. We mention that the conjecture about the height jump was only stated in [9] for the normal function associated to the Ceresa cycle, but it seems reasonable to make this broader conjecture.

In this paper we prove Conjecture 1.4 in the case of sections of families of polarized abelian varieties.

Theorem 1.5. *Assume that the admissible variation $\boldsymbol{H}$ over the smooth complex variety X is pure of type $(-1,0),(0,-1)$, and that the monodromy operators on the fibers of $\boldsymbol{H}$ about all irreducible components of D are unipotent. Then for all holomorphic test curves $\overline{\phi}\colon \overline{C} \to \overline{X}$ with image not contained in D, the associated height jump divisor J is effective.*

Combining with inequality (1.3) we obtain

Corollary 1.6. *Assume that $\overline{C}$ is smooth and projective. Then under the assumptions of Theorem 1.5, the line bundle $\overline{\phi}^* \left[\mathcal{P}_\nu, ||-||\right]_{\overline{X}}$ has non-negative degree on $\overline{C}$.*

The key to our proof of Theorem 1.5 is the fact that f_s is convex, cf. Theorem 1.1.4. Turning again to the case of the Ceresa cycle, note that since the intermediate Jacobian of the primitive part of $H_3(J(Y_x))$ is a compact complex torus but not an abelian variety, we can not apply directly our results for families of abelian varieties to this case. In a future work we hope to extend our results to cover this case.

In the special case of families of jacobians Conjecture 1.4 is proved in [3]. The proof in this special case makes heavy use of the combinatorics of dual graphs of nodal curves, and so cannot readily be extended to families of abelian varieties, nor does it seem practical to reduce the general case to that of jacobians.

1.4. **Overview of the paper.** We review the content of the different sections of this paper. In the preliminary section 2 we start by recalling the notion of Lear extension, and the Poincaré bundle on the product of a complex torus and its dual, together with its associated metric. We also recall the explicit description of the Poincaré bundle and its metric on a family of polarized abelian varieties. Also we study the period map associated to a family of pointed polarized abelian varieties. Moreover we give a local expansion for the metric of the pullback of the Poincaré bundle under this period map. The functions that appear as the logarithm of the norm of a section of the pullback of the Poincaré bundle will be called norm-like functions.

In section 3.1 we study norm-like functions and give several estimates on their growth and that of their derivatives. Finally in section 4 we prove the main results on local integrability and positivity of the height jump. Along the way we give, for convenience of the reader, a proof of Lear's extension theorem in our situation.

We fix some notation that we will use throughout. Let r be a positive integer. For any commutative ring R we will denote by $\mathrm{Col}_r(R)$ (respectively $\mathrm{Row}_r(R)$, $M_r(R)$ and $S_r(R)$) the set of column vectors of size r with entries in R (respectively row vectors, matrices and symmetric matrices of size r-by-r).

We denote by $S_r^{++}(\mathbb{R}) \subset S_r(\mathbb{R})$ (respectively $S_r^{+}(\mathbb{R}) \subset S_r(\mathbb{R})$) the cone of positive definite (respectively positive semidefinite) symmetric real matrices. We denote by $\mathbb{H}_r$ Siegel's upper half space of rank r, and by $\mathbb{P}^r$ its compact dual.

By a variety we mean an integral separated scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank R. Hain and G. Pearlstein for several discussions and useful hints. We would also like to thank the hospitality of the Mathematical Institute of Leiden University and the Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas where the authors could meet to work on this paper.

2. Preliminary results

2.1. **Lear extensions.** We start by recalling the formalism of $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundles. Let X be a variety. A $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundle over X is a pair (L, r) where L is a line bundle on X and $r > 0$ is a positive integer. A metrized $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundle is a triple $(L, ||-||, r)$, where (L, r) is a $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundle and $||-||$ is a continuous metric on L. A morphism of $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundles $(L_1, r_1) \to (L_2, r_2)$ is a morphism of line bundles $L_1^{\otimes r_2} \to L_2^{\otimes r_1}$. A morphism of metrized line bundles is an isometry if the corresponding morphism of line bundles is an isometry. Every line bundle L gives rise to a $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundle $(L, 1)$. Note that, if L is a line bundle and $r > 1$ is an integer, then there is a canonical isomorphism $(L^{\otimes r}, r) \simeq (L, 1)$. Moreover, if L is a torsion line bundle so that $L^{\otimes r} \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$, then there is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundles $(L, 1) \to (\mathcal{O}_X, r)$. If we do not need to specify the multiplicity r, a $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundle will be denoted by a single letter.

Definition 2.1 (Lear extension)**.** Let $X \subseteq \overline{X}$ be a smooth compactification of a smooth variety, such that the boundary divisor $D \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{X} \setminus X$ has normal crossings, and L a line bundle on X with continuous metric $||-||$. A *Lear extension* of L is a $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundle $(\mathcal{L}, r)$ on $\overline{X}$ together with an isomorphism $\alpha\colon (L, 1) \to (\mathcal{L}, r)|_X$ and a continuous metric on $\mathcal{L}|_{\overline{X} \setminus D^{\mathrm{sing}}}$ such that the isomorphism α is an isometry. Since D^{sing} has codimension at least 2 in $\overline{X}$, if a Lear extension exists then it is unique up to a unique isomorphism. If a Lear extension of L exists we denote it by

$\Big[L, ||-||\Big]_{\overline{X}}$. Note that the isomorphism class of the Lear extension of L depends not only on L but also on the metric on L.

If s is a rational section of L, it can also be seen as a rational section of $\Big[L, ||-||\Big]_{\overline{X}}$. We will denote by $\mathrm{div}_X(s)$ the divisor of s as a rational section of L and by $\mathrm{div}_{\overline{X}}(s)$ the divisor of s as a rational section of $\Big[L, ||-||\Big]_{\overline{X}}$.

2.2. **Poincaré bundle and its metric.** In this section we recall the definition of the Poincaré bundle and its biextension metric. Moreover we make the biextension metric explicit in the case of families of polarized abelian varieties.

In the literature one can find small discrepancies in the description of the Poincaré bundle, see Remark 2.4. These discrepancies can be traced back to two different choices of the identification of a complex torus with its bidual. Moreover, there are also different conventions regarding the sign of the polarization of the abelian variety. Since one of our main results is a positivity result it is worthwhile to fix all the signs to avoid these ambiguities.

Complex tori and their duals. Let $g \geq 0$ be a non-negative integer, V a g-dimensional vector space and $\Lambda \subset V$ a rank $2g$ lattice. The quotient $T = V/\Lambda$ is a compact complex torus. It is a Kähler complex manifold, but in general it is not an algebraic variety.

We recall the construction of the dual torus of T. We denote by $V^\vee = \mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\mathbb{C}}}(V, \mathbb{C})$ the space of antilinear forms $w\colon V \to \mathbb{C}$. The bilinear form

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \colon V^\vee \times V \to \mathbb{R},\ \langle w, z \rangle \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathrm{Im}(w(z))$$

is non-degenerate. Thus

$$\Lambda^\vee \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \{\lambda \in V^\vee \mid \langle \lambda, \Lambda \rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}\}$$

is a lattice of $V^\vee$. The quotient $T^\vee = V^\vee/\Lambda^\vee$ is again a compact complex torus, called the *dual torus* of T.

We can identify V with $\mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\mathbb{C}}}(V^\vee, \mathbb{C})$ by the rule

$$z(w) = \overline{w(z)} \tag{2.1}$$

so that the bilinear pairing

$$(V^\vee \oplus V) \otimes (V^\vee \oplus V) \to \mathbb{R}, \quad (w, z) \otimes (w', z') \mapsto \mathrm{Im}(w(z')) + \mathrm{Im}(z(w'))$$

is antisymmetric. With this identification the double dual $(T^\vee)^\vee$ gets identified with T.

The points of $T^\vee$ define homologically trivial line bundles on T giving an isomorphism of $T^\vee$ with $\mathrm{Pic}^0(T)$. We recall this construction. Let $w \in V^\vee$. Denote by $[w]$ its class in $T^\vee$ and by $\chi_{[w]} \in \mathrm{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{C}_1)$ the character

$$\chi_{[w]}(\mu) = \exp(2\pi i \langle w, \mu \rangle). \tag{2.2}$$

The line bundle associated to $[w]$ is the line bundle $L_{[w]}$ with automorphy factor $\chi_{[w]}$. In other words, consider the action of Λ on $V \times \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$\mu(z, t) = (z + \mu, t \exp(2\pi i \langle w, \mu \rangle)).$$

Write $L_{[w]} = V \times \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$. The projection $V \times \mathbb{C} \to V$ induces a map $L_{[w]} \to T$. It is easy to check that $L_{[w]}$ is a holomorphic line bundle on T that only depends on the class $[w]$. Note that the identification between $T^\vee$ and $\mathrm{Pic}^0(T)$ is not completely

canonical because it depends on a choice of sign. We could equally well have used the character $\chi_{[w]}^{-1}$.

The Poincaré bundle. Note that, although the cocycle (2.2) is not holomorphic in w, the line bundle $L_{[w]}$ varies holomorphically with w, defining a holomorphic line bundle on $T \times T^\vee$ called the Poincaré bundle.

Definition 2.2. A *Poincaré (line) bundle* $\mathcal{P}$ is a holomorphic line bundle on $T \times T^\vee$ that satisfies

(1) the restriction $\mathcal{P}|_{T\times\{[w]\}}$ is isomorphic to $L_{[w]}$;
(2) the restriction $\mathcal{P}|_{\{0\}\times T^\vee}$ is trivial.

A *rigidified Poincaré bundle* is a Poincaré bundle together with an isomorphism $\mathcal{P}|_{\{0\}\times T^\vee} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\{0\}\times T^\vee}$.

To prove the existence of a Poincaré bundle, consider the map

$$a_{\mathcal{P}} \colon (\Lambda \times \Lambda^\vee) \times (V \times V^\vee) \to \mathbb{C}^\times$$

given by

$$a_{\mathcal{P}}((\mu,\lambda),(z,w)) = \exp\Big(\pi\big((w+\lambda)(\mu) + \overline{\lambda(z)}\big)\Big). \tag{2.3}$$

This map is holomorphic in z and w. Moreover, since for $(\mu,\lambda) \in \Lambda \times \Lambda^\vee$,

$$\langle \lambda, \mu\rangle = \frac{1}{2i}(\lambda(\mu) - \overline{\lambda(\mu)}) \in \mathbb{Z},$$

the map $a_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a cocycle for the additive action of $\Lambda \times \Lambda^\vee$ on $V \times V^\vee$. Hence, it is an automorphy factor that defines a holomorphic line bundle $\mathcal{P}$ on $T \times T^\vee = V \times V^\vee/\Lambda \times \Lambda^\vee$.

For a fixed $w \in V^\vee$,

$$a_{\mathcal{P}}((\mu,0),(z,w)) = \exp(\pi w(\mu)).$$

This last cocycle is equivalent to the cocycle (2.2). Indeed,

$$\exp(\pi w(\mu))\exp(\pi\overline{w(z+\mu)})^{-1}\exp(\pi\overline{w(z)}) = \exp(2\pi i\langle w,\mu\rangle),$$

and the function $z \mapsto \exp(\pi\overline{w(z)})$ is holomorphic in z. Thus the restriction $\mathcal{P}|_{T\times\{[w]\}}$ is isomorphic to $L_{[w]}$. Moreover

$$a_{\mathcal{P}}((0,\lambda),(0,w)) = 1,$$

which implies that the restriction $\mathcal{P}|_{\{0\}\times T^\vee}$ is trivial. The uniqueness of the Poincaré bundle follows from the seesaw principle.

We conclude

Proposition 2.3. *A Poincaré bundle exists and is unique up to isomorphism. A rigidified Poincaré bundle exists and is unique up to a unique isomorphism.*

Remark 2.4. Using the above identification of T with the dual torus of $T^\vee$ we have that, for a fixed $z \in V$, the restriction $\mathcal{P}|_{T^\vee\times\{[z]\}}$ agrees with $L_{[z]}$. In fact

$$a_{\mathcal{P}}((0,\lambda),(z,w)) = \exp(\pi\overline{\lambda(z)}),$$

and, arguing as in the proof of Proposition 2.3, this cocycle is equivalent to the cocycle

$$\exp(2\pi i\,\mathrm{Im}(\overline{\lambda(z)})) = \exp(2\pi i\langle z,\lambda\rangle).$$

Note that the definition of the Poincaré bundle in [8, §3.2] states that $\mathcal{P}|_{T^\vee \times \{[z]\}} = L_{[-z]}$. The discrepancy between [8] and the current paper is due to a different choice of identification between T and $(T^\vee)^\vee$.

Remark 2.5. As we will see later the cocycle (2.3) is not optimal because it does not vary holomorphically in holomorphic families of tori.

Group theoretical interpretation of the Poincaré bundle. We next give a group theoretic description of the Poincaré bundle. We start with the additive real Lie group W given by

$$W = V \times V^\vee.$$

Denote by $\widetilde{W}$ the semidirect product $\widetilde{W} = W \ltimes \mathbb{C}^\times$, where the product in $\widetilde{W}$ is given by

$$\big((z,w),t\big) \cdot \big((z',w'),t'\big) = \big((z+z',w+w'),tt' \exp(2\pi i \langle w, z' \rangle)\big). \tag{2.4}$$

Clearly the group

$$W_{\mathbb{Z}} = \Lambda \times \Lambda^\vee \tag{2.5}$$

is a subgroup of $\widetilde{W}$.

Consider the space

$$P \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} V \times V^\vee \times \mathbb{C}^\times \tag{2.6}$$

and the action of $\widetilde{W}$ on P by biholomorphisms given by

$$\big((\mu,\lambda),t\big) \cdot ((z,w),s) = \big(z+\mu, w+\lambda, ts \exp(\pi(w+\lambda)(\mu) + \pi\overline{\lambda(z)})\big). \tag{2.7}$$

The projection $P \to V \times V^\vee$ induces a map $W_{\mathbb{Z}}\backslash P \to T \times T^\vee$. The action of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ on P by acting on the third factor provides $W_{\mathbb{Z}}\backslash P$ with a structure of $\mathbb{C}^\times$-bundle over $T \times T^\vee$. Denote by $\mathcal{P}_T = (W_{\mathbb{Z}}\backslash P) \underset{\mathbb{C}^\times}{\times} \mathbb{C}$ the associated holomorphic line bundle. The structure of P as a product space induces a canonical rigidification $\mathcal{P}_T|_{\{0\}\times T^\vee} = \mathcal{O}_{\{0\}\times T^\vee}$.

Proposition 2.6. *The line bundle $\mathcal{P}_T$ is a rigidified Poincaré line bundle.*

Proof. From the explicit description of the cocycle (2.3) and of the action (2.7) we deduce that $\mathcal{P}_T$ is a Poincaré bundle. □

The metric of the Poincaré bundle. The Poincaré bundle has a metric that is determined up to constant by the condition that its curvature form is invariant under translation. On a rigidified Poincaré bundle, with given rigidification $\mathcal{P}_T|_{\{(0,0)\}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\{(0,0)\}}$, the constant is fixed by imposing the condition $\|1\| = 1$. We now describe explicitly this metric.

Let $\mathbb{C}_1$ be the subgroup of $\mathbb{C}$ of elements of norm one and write $\widetilde{W}_1 = W \ltimes \mathbb{C}_1$ with the same product as before. Denote by $\mathcal{P}_T^\times$ the Poincaré bundle with the zero section deleted. Since $\mathcal{P}_T^\times = W_{\mathbb{Z}}\backslash P$, the invariant metric of $\mathcal{P}_T$ is described by the unique function $\|\cdot\| \colon P \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ satisfying the conditions

(1) (Norm condition) For $(w,z,s) \in P$, we have
$$\|(w,z,s)\| = |s| \|(w,z,1)\|.$$
(2) (Invariance under $\widetilde{W}_1$) For $g \in \widetilde{W}_1$ and $x \in P$, we have
$$\|g \cdot x\| = \|x\|$$

(3) (Normalization) $\|(0,0,1)\| = 1$.

Using the explicit description of the action given in (2.7), we have that

$$(w,z,s) = (w,z,1)\cdot(0,0,s\exp(-\pi w(z))),$$

from which one easily derives that the previous conditions imply

$$\|(w,z,s)\|^2 = |s|^2 \exp\Big(-\pi\big(w(z)+\overline{w(z)}\big)\Big). \tag{2.8}$$

Holomorphic families of complex tori. As mentioned in Remark 2.5, the cocycle (2.3) does not vary holomorphically in families. We now want to consider holomorphic families of complex tori. Let X be a complex manifold and $\mathcal{T} \to X$ a holomorphic family of dimension g complex tori. This means that $\mathcal{T}$ is defined by a holomorphic vector bundle $\mathcal{V}$ of rank g on X and an integral local system $\Lambda \subset \mathcal{V}$ of rank $2g$ such that, for each $s \in X$, the fibre Λ_s is a lattice in $\mathcal{V}_s$ and the flat sections of Λ are holomorphic sections of $\mathcal{V}$. Indeed Λ is the local system $s \mapsto H_1(\mathcal{T}_s,\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathcal{V}$ the holomorphic vector bundle $s \mapsto H_1(\mathcal{T}_s,\mathbb{C})/F^0H_1(\mathcal{T}_s,\mathbb{C})$.

Write $\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{C} = \Lambda \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$. It is a holomorphic vector bundle, with a holomorphic surjection $\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{V}$ and an integral structure. The kernel $\mathcal{F}^0 = \mathrm{Ker}(\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{V})$ is a holomorphic vector bundle which is fibrewise isomorphic to the complex conjugate $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$. On the dual vector bundle $\mathcal{H}^\vee = \Lambda^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$ consider the orthogonal complement $(\mathcal{F}^0)^\perp$ to $\mathcal{F}^0$. The quotient $\mathcal{H}^\vee/(\mathcal{F}^0)^\perp$ is a holomorphic vector bundle which is fibrewise isomorphic to $\mathcal{V}^\vee$. Thus we will denote it by $\mathcal{V}^\vee$. The dual family of tori is defined as

$$\mathcal{T}^\vee = \mathcal{V}^\vee/\Lambda^\vee.$$

Let $U \subset X$ be a small enough open subset such that the restriction of $\mathcal{T}$ to U is topologically trivial. Choose $s_0 \in U$ and an integral basis

$$(a,b) = (a_1,\dots,a_g,b_1,\dots,b_g)$$

of Λ_{s_0} such that $(a_1,\dots,a_g)$ is a complex basis of $\mathcal{V}_{s_0}$. By abuse of notation, we denote by a_i, b_i, $i = 1,\dots,g$ the corresponding flat sections of Λ. We can see them as holomorphic sections of $\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{C}$ and we will also denote by a_i, b_i their images in $\mathcal{V}$. After shrinking U if necessary, we can assume that the sections a_i form a frame of $\mathcal{V}$, thus we can write

$$(b_1,\dots,b_g) = (a_1,\dots,a_g)\Omega \tag{2.9}$$

for a holomorphic map $\Omega\colon U \to M_g(\mathbb{C})$. We call Ω the period matrix of the variation on the basis (a,b). Note that condition (2.9) is equivalent to saying that $\mathcal{F}^0 \subset \mathcal{H}_\mathbb{C}$ is generated by the columns of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\Omega \\ \mathrm{Id} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Writing $\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R}$ for the real vector subbundle of $\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{C}$ formed by sections that are invariant under complex conjugation, we have that $\mathcal{F}^0 \cap \mathcal{H}_\mathbb{R} = 0$. This implies that $\mathrm{Im}\,\Omega$ is non-degenerate. The complex basis $(a_1,\dots,a_g)$ gives us an identification of $\mathcal{V}|_U$ with the trivial vector bundle $\mathrm{Col}_g(\mathbb{C})$ and the basis (a,b) identifies Λ with the trivial local system $\mathrm{Col}_g(\mathbb{Z}) \oplus \mathrm{Col}_g(\mathbb{Z})$. With these identifications, the inclusion $\Lambda \to \mathcal{V}$ is given by

$$(\mu_1,\mu_2) \mapsto \mu = \mu_1 + \Omega\mu_2.$$

Let now $(a^*, b^*) = (a_1^*, \dots, a_g^*, b_1^*, \dots, b_g^*)$ be the basis of $\Lambda_{s_0}^\vee$ dual to (a, b). As before we extend the elements a_i^*, b_i^*, $i = 1, \dots, g$ to flat sections of Λ over U. Then $b_1^*, \dots, b_g^*$ is a frame of $\mathcal{V}^\vee$. One can check that, on $\mathcal{V}^\vee$, the equality

$$(a_1^*, \dots, a_g^*) = -(b_1^*, \dots, b_g^*)\Omega^t$$

holds. Thus if we identify $\mathcal{V}^\vee$ with the trivial vector bundle $\mathrm{Row}_g(\mathbb{C})$ using the basis (b^*) and $\Lambda^\vee$ with the trivial local system $\mathrm{Row}_g(\mathbb{Z}) \oplus \mathrm{Row}_g(\mathbb{Z})$ using the basis (a^*, b^*) we obtain that the inclusion $\Lambda^\vee \to \mathcal{V}^\vee$ is given by

$$(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \mapsto \lambda = -\lambda_1\Omega + \lambda_2. \tag{2.10}$$

In the fixed bases, the pairing between the lattice Λ and its dual $\Lambda^\vee$ is given by

$$\langle (\lambda_1, \lambda_2), (\mu_1, \mu_2) \rangle = \lambda_1\mu_1 + \lambda_2\mu_2,$$

where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathrm{Row}_g(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathrm{Col}_g(\mathbb{Z})$. One can check that the pairing between $\mathcal{V}^\vee$ and $\mathcal{V}$ is given by

$$w(z) = -w(\mathrm{Im}\,\Omega)^{-1}\bar{z}, \tag{2.11}$$

where $w \in \mathrm{Row}_g(\mathbb{C})$ and $z \in \mathrm{Col}_g(\mathbb{C})$.

The cocycle $a_{\mathcal{P}}$ from equation (2.3) can now be written down explicitly as

$$\begin{aligned} &a_{\mathcal{P}}((\mu_1, \mu_2), (\lambda_1, \lambda_2), (z, w)) \\ &\quad = \exp(-\pi((w - \lambda_1\Omega + \lambda_2)(\mathrm{Im}\,\Omega)^{-1}(\mu_1 + \bar{\Omega}\mu_2) + (-\lambda_1\bar{\Omega} + \lambda_2)(\mathrm{Im}\,\Omega)^{-1}z)), \end{aligned}$$

which is not holomorphic with respect to Ω. Thus it does not give us on the nose a holomorphic Poincaré bundle in families. Nevertheless the construction of the Poincaré bundle can be extended to families of complex tori.

Proposition 2.7. *Let X be a complex manifold and $\mathcal{T} \to X$ a holomorphic family of dimension g complex tori. Let $\nu_0 \colon X \to \mathcal{T} \underset{X}{\times} \mathcal{T}^\vee$ be the zero section. Then*

1. *the fibrewise dual tori form a holomorphic family of complex tori $\mathcal{T}^\vee \to X$;*
2. *on $\mathcal{T} \underset{X}{\times} \mathcal{T}^\vee$ there is a holomorphic line bundle $\mathcal{P}$, together with an isomorphism $\nu_0^*\mathcal{P} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_X$, called the rigidified Poincaré bundle, which is unique up to a unique isomorphism, and is characterized by the property that for every point $p \in X$, the restriction $\mathcal{P}|_{\mathcal{T}_p \times \mathcal{T}_p^\vee}$ is the rigidified Poincaré bundle of $\mathcal{T}_p$;*
3. *there is a unique metric on $\mathcal{P}$ that induces the trivial metric on $\nu_0^*\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{O}_X$ and whose curvature is fibrewise translation invariant.*

Proof. Fix an open subset $U \subset X$ as before. The dual family of tori $\mathcal{T}^\vee$ is holomorphic by definition.

In order to prove that the Poincaré bundle defines a holomorphic line bundle on the family we need to exhibit a new cocycle that is holomorphic in z, w and Ω and that, for fixed Ω, is equivalent to $a_{\mathcal{P}}$ holomorphically in z and w. Write $\lambda = -\lambda_1\Omega + \lambda_2$ and $\mu = \mu_1 + \Omega\mu_2$ as before with $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathrm{Row}_g(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathrm{Col}_g(\mathbb{Z})$. Consider the cocycle

$$b_{\mathcal{P}}((\lambda, \mu), (z, w)) = \exp(2\pi i((w - \lambda_1\Omega + \lambda_2)\mu_2 - \lambda_1 z)) \tag{2.12}$$

for $w \in \mathrm{Row}_g(\mathbb{C})$ and $z \in \mathrm{Col}_g(\mathbb{C})$. Then $b_{\mathcal{P}}$ is holomorphic in z, w, and Ω. Consider also the function

$$\psi(z, w) = \exp(-\pi w(\mathrm{Im}\,\Omega)^{-1}z), \tag{2.13}$$

which is holomorphic in z and w. Since

$$b_{\mathcal{P}}((\mu,\lambda),(z,w)) = a_{\mathcal{P}}((\mu,\lambda),(z,w))\psi(z,w)\psi(z+\mu,w+\lambda)^{-1}$$

we deduce that the cocycle $b_{\mathcal{P}}$ determines a line bundle that satisfies the properties stated in item (2) from the proposition over the open U. The uniqueness follows again from the seesaw principle. By the uniqueness, we can glue together the rigidified Poincaré bundles obtained in different open subsets U to obtain a rigidified Poincaré bundle over X.

The fact that the invariant metric has invariant curvature fixes it up to a function on X that is determined by the normalization condition. Thus if it exists, it is unique. Since the expression for the metric in (2.8) is smooth in Ω and the change of cocycle function in (2.13) is also smooth in Ω we obtain an invariant metric locally. Again the uniqueness implies that we can patch together the different local expressions. □

Remark 2.8. Since the cocycle $a_{\mathcal{P}}$ does not vary holomorphically in families, the frame for the Poincaré bundle used in equation (2.8) is not holomorphic in families. The cocycle $b_{\mathcal{P}}$ and the rigidification do determine a holomorphic frame of the Poincaré bundle over $X \times V \times V^{\vee}$. In this holomorphic frame the metric is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \|(z,w,s)\|^2 &= |s|^2 \exp(-\pi(w(z) + \overline{w(z)}))|\psi(z,w)|^2 \\ &= |s|^2 \exp(4\pi \operatorname{Im}(w)(\operatorname{Im}\Omega)^{-1}\operatorname{Im}(z)), \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

where ψ is the function given in (2.13).

Abelian varieties. We now specialize to the case of polarized abelian varieties. A polarization on the torus $T = V/\Lambda$ is the datum of an antisymmetric non-degenerate bilinear form $E\colon \Lambda \times \Lambda \to \mathbb{Z}$ such that for all $v, w \in V$,

$$E(iv, iw) = E(v,w), \qquad -E(iv,v) > 0, \text{ for } v \neq 0.$$

Here we have extended E $\mathbb{R}$-bilinearly to $V = \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{R}$. Note that the standard convention in the literature on abelian varieties is to ask $E(iv,v)$ to be positive. But this convention is not compatible with the usual convention in the literature on Hodge Theory. We have changed the sign here to have compatible conventions for abelian varieties and for Hodge structures.

Since E is antisymmetric and non-degenerate we can choose an integral basis (a,b) such that the matrix of E on (a,b) is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Delta \\ -\Delta & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.15}$$

where Δ is an integral diagonal matrix. We will call such basis a $\mathbb{Q}$-symplectic integral basis. From a $\mathbb{Q}$-symplectic integral basis (a,b) we can construct a symplectic rational basis $(a\Delta^{-1}, b)$.

With the choice of a $\mathbb{Q}$-symplectic integral basis, the condition $E(iv,iw) = E(v,w)$ is equivalent to the product matrix $\Delta\Omega$ being symmetric. Thus $\Omega^t\Delta = \Delta\Omega$. The condition $-E(iv,v) > 0$ is equivalent to $\Delta \operatorname{Im}\Omega$ being positive definite. This last condition is equivalent to that any of the symmetric matrices $(\operatorname{Im}\Omega)^t\Delta$, $((\operatorname{Im}\Omega)^{-1})^t\Delta$ or $\Delta(\operatorname{Im}\Omega)^{-1}$ is positive definite.

Recall from (2.9) that $\Omega \in M_g(\mathbb{C})$ is determined by the relation $b = a\Omega$. The polarization E defines a positive definite hermitian form H on V given by

$$H(v,w) = -E(iv,w) - iE(v,w),$$

so that we recover the polarization E as the restriction of $-\operatorname{Im}(H)$ to $\Lambda \times \Lambda$. In the basis $(a_1,\dots,a_g)$ of V, the hermitian form H is given by $\Delta(\operatorname{Im}\Omega)^{-1} = ((\operatorname{Im}\Omega)^{-1})^t\Delta$. That is, under the identification $V = \operatorname{Col}_g(\mathbb{C})$, we have

$$H(v,w) = v^t\Delta(\operatorname{Im}\Omega)^{-1}\overline{w}. \tag{2.16}$$

The polarization defines an isogeny $\lambda_E\colon T \to T^\vee$ that is given by the map $V \to V^\vee$, $v \mapsto H(v,-)$. Under the identification $V^\vee = \operatorname{Row}_g(\mathbb{C})$ given by the basis (b^*), by equations (2.11) and (2.16), we deduce that λ_E is given by

$$\lambda_E(v) = -v^t\Delta. \tag{2.17}$$

The fact that $\Delta\Omega$ is symmetric and Δ is integral implies that this map sends Λ to $\Lambda^\vee$ defining an isogeny. The dual polarization $E^\vee$ on $V^\vee$ is given by the hermitian form $H^\vee(e,f) = e(\operatorname{Im}\Omega)^{-1}\Delta^{-1}\overline{f}^t$ so that the map $V \to V^\vee$ is an isometry.

Consider now the composition of the diagonal map with the polarization map on the second factor $(\mathrm{id},\lambda_E)\colon T \to T \times T^\vee$ and let $\mathcal{P}$ be the Poincaré bundle on $T \times T^\vee$. Then $(\mathrm{id},\lambda_E)^*\mathcal{P}$ is an ample line bundle on T whose first Chern class agrees with the given polarization of T.

Theorem 2.9. *The metric induced on the bundle* $(\mathrm{id},\lambda_E)^*\mathcal{P}$ *is given by the function* $\|\cdot\|\colon V \times \mathbb{C}^\times \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$,

$$\|(z,s)\|^2 = |s|^2\exp(-4\pi\operatorname{Im}(z)^t\Delta(\operatorname{Im}\Omega)^{-1}\operatorname{Im}(z)). \tag{2.18}$$

Proof. This follows from equations (2.14) and (2.17). □

Hodge structures of type $(-1,0),(0,-1)$. Recall that a pure Hodge structure of type $(-1,0),(0,-1)$ is given by

(1) A torsion free finite rank $\mathbb{Z}$-module, $H_\mathbb{Z}$.
(2) A decreasing filtration $F^\bullet$ on $H_\mathbb{C} \overset{\text{def}}{=} H_\mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$F^{-1}H_\mathbb{C} = H_\mathbb{C}, \quad F^1H_\mathbb{C} = \{0\}, \quad H_\mathbb{C} = F^0H_\mathbb{C} \oplus \overline{F^0H_\mathbb{C}}.$$

A polarization of a Hodge structure of type $(-1,0),(0,-1)$ is a non-degenerate antisymmetric bilinear form $Q\colon H_\mathbb{Z} \otimes H_\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ which, when extended to $H_\mathbb{C}$ by linearity, satisfies the "Riemann bilinear relations"

(1) The subspace $F^0H_\mathbb{C}$ is isotropic.
(2) If $x \in F^0H_\mathbb{C}$, then $iQ(x,\overline{x}) > 0$.

We recall that the category of Hodge structures of type $(-1,0),(0,-1)$ and the category of complex tori are equivalent. If $(H_\mathbb{Z},F^\bullet)$ is such a Hodge structure, we write $V = H_\mathbb{C}/F^0$ and $\pi\colon H_\mathbb{C} \to H_\mathbb{C}/F^0$ for the projection. Then $\Lambda \overset{\text{def}}{=} \pi(H_\mathbb{Z})$ is a lattice in V, that defines a torus $T = V/\Lambda$. Conversely, if T is a complex torus, then $H_1(T,\mathbb{Z})$ has a Hodge structure of type $(-1,0),(0,-1)$.

If $(H_\mathbb{Z},F^\bullet)$ has a polarization Q then, identifying Λ with $H_\mathbb{Z}$ and writing $E = Q$, we obtain a polarization of T. We finish by verifying that, indeed E is a polarization in the sense of complex tori. That E is non-degenerate follows from the non-degeneracy of Q. Let $v,w \in V$, choose $\bar{x},\bar{y} \in \overline{F^0H_\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\pi(\bar{x}) = v$ and $\pi(\bar{y}) = w$. Write x, y for the complex conjugates of $\bar{x}$ and $\bar{y}$ respectively. Then

$x+\bar{x} \in H_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ and $\pi(x+\bar{x}) = v$, while $ix - i\bar{x} \in H_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ and $\pi(ix - i\bar{x}) = -iv$. Thus by the first Riemann bilinear relation

$$E(iv, iw) = Q(-ix + i\bar{x}, -iy + i\bar{y}) = Q(x, \bar{y}) + Q(\bar{x}, y)$$
$$E(v, w) = Q(x + \bar{x}, y + \bar{y}) = Q(x, \bar{y}) + Q(\bar{x}, y),$$

Thus $E(iv, iw) = E(v, w)$. Moreover, by the second bilinear relation

$$H(v, v) = -E(iv, v) = -Q(-ix + i\bar{x}, x + \bar{x}) = 2iQ(x, \bar{x}) > 0.$$

2.3. **Nilpotent orbit theorem.** The aim of this section is to formulate a version of the Nilpotent orbit theorem that allows us to deal with variations of mixed Hodge structures, in a setting with several variables. Such a Nilpotent orbit theorem is stated and proved in [16]. In order to formulate this theorem, we need quite a bit of background material and in particular define the notion of "admissibility" for variations of mixed Hodge structures. Also we need to take a detailed look at the behaviour of monodromy on the fibers of the underlying local systems. Most of the introductory material below is taken from [19, Section 14.4] and [16].

Variations of polarized mixed Hodge structures. Let X be a complex manifold. A graded-polarized variation of mixed Hodge structures on X is a local system $\boldsymbol{H} \to X$ of finitely generated torsion free abelian groups equipped with:

(1) A finite increasing filtration
$$\boldsymbol{W}_\bullet\colon \quad 0 \subseteq \ldots \subseteq \boldsymbol{W}_k \subseteq \boldsymbol{W}_{k+1} \subseteq \ldots \subseteq \boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}$$
of $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \boldsymbol{H} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ by local subsystems, called the weight filtration,
(2) A finite decreasing filtration
$$\mathcal{F}^\bullet\colon \quad \boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \supseteq \ldots \supseteq \mathcal{F}^{p-1} \supseteq \mathcal{F}^p \supseteq \ldots \supseteq 0$$
of the vector bundle $\mathcal{H} = \boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$ by holomorphic subbundles, called the Hodge filtration,
(3) For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ a non-degenerate bilinear form
$$\boldsymbol{Q}_k\colon \mathrm{Gr}_k^{\boldsymbol{W}}(\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \otimes \mathrm{Gr}_k^{\boldsymbol{W}}(\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \to \mathbb{Q}_X$$
of parity $(-1)^k$,

such that:

(1) For each $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ the Gauss-Manin connection ∇ on $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies the "Griffiths transversality condition" $\nabla\mathcal{F}^p \subseteq \Omega^1_X \otimes \mathcal{F}^{p-1}$,
(2) For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ the triple $(\mathrm{Gr}_k^{\boldsymbol{W}}(\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}), \mathcal{F}^\bullet\mathrm{Gr}_k^{\boldsymbol{W}}(\mathcal{H}), \boldsymbol{Q}_k)$ is a variation of pure polarized rational Hodge structures of weight k. Here for each $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ we write $\mathcal{F}^p\mathrm{Gr}_k^{\boldsymbol{W}}(\mathcal{H})$ for the image of $\mathcal{F}^p\mathcal{H} \cap \boldsymbol{W}_k\mathcal{H}$ in $\mathrm{Gr}_k^{\boldsymbol{W}}(\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$ under the projection map $\boldsymbol{W}_k\mathcal{H} \to \mathrm{Gr}_k^{\boldsymbol{W}}(\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbb{C}})$.

Period domains. We recall that if $(H, W_\bullet, F^\bullet)$ is a mixed Hodge structure, then $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ has a unique bigrading $I^{\bullet,\bullet}$ such that

$$F^pH_{\mathbb{C}} = \oplus_{r \geq p, s} I^{r,s}\,, \quad W_kH_{\mathbb{C}} = \oplus_{r+s \leq k} I^{r,s}\,, \quad I^{r,s} = \overline{I}^{s,r} \bmod \oplus_{p<r, q<s} I^{p,q}\,.$$

The integers $h^{r,s} = \dim I^{r,s}$ are called the Hodge numbers of $(H, W_\bullet, F^\bullet)$.

Given a triple $(H, W_\bullet, Q_k)$ with H a rational vector space, $W_\bullet$ an increasing filtration of H, and Q_k a collection of non-degenerate bilinear forms of parity $(-1)^k$ on $\mathrm{Gr}_k^W(H)$, together with a partition of $\dim H$ into a sum of non-negative integers $h = \{h^{r,s}\}$, there exists a natural classifying space (also known as a period domain)

$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(h)$ of mixed Hodge structures $(W_\bullet, F^\bullet)$ on H which are graded-polarized by Q_k.

Let $G = G(H, W_\bullet, Q_k)$ be the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebraic group

$$G = \{g \in GL(H) | \forall k \in \mathbb{Z} : g(W_k) \subset W_k,\ \mathrm{Gr}_k^W(g) \in \mathrm{Aut}(Q_k)\}.$$

Then the group $G(\mathbb{R})$ of real points acts transitively on $\mathcal{M}$, and provides $\mathcal{M}$ with an embedding in a so-called "compact dual" $\check{\mathcal{M}} \supset \mathcal{M}$, which is the orbit, inside a flag manifold parametrizing filtrations of $H_\mathbb{C}$ compatible with $W_\bullet$ and the given Hodge numbers, of any point in $\mathcal{M}$ under the action of $G(\mathbb{C})$. The inclusion $\mathcal{M} \subset \check{\mathcal{M}}$ is open and hence gives $\mathcal{M}$ a natural structure of complex manifold. We remark that although called compact dual by analogy with the pure case, $\check{\mathcal{M}}$ is not in general compact.

Relative filtrations. Let H be a rational vector space, equipped with a finite increasing filtration $W_\bullet$. We let N denote a nilpotent endomorphism of H, compatible with $W_\bullet$. We call an increasing filtration $M_\bullet$ of H a weight filtration for N relative to $W_\bullet$ if the two following conditions are satisfied:

(1) for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $NM_i \subseteq M_{i-2}$,
(2) for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that N^i induces an isomorphism

$$N^i \colon \mathrm{Gr}_{k+i}^M \mathrm{Gr}_k^W H \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Gr}_{k-i}^M \mathrm{Gr}_k^W H$$

of vector spaces.

It can be verified that if H has a weight filtration for N relative to $W_\bullet$, then it is unique. We call N strict if $N(H) \cap W_k = N(W_k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. By [21, Proposition 2.16], if the filtration $W_\bullet$ has length two (in the sense that $H = W_k$ and $W_{k-2} = 0$ for some k), and if H has a weight filtration for N relative to $W_\bullet$, then N is strict.

Admissible variations of mixed Hodge structures. Now let $(\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{W}_\bullet, \mathcal{F}^\bullet, \boldsymbol{Q}_k)$ be a graded-polarized variation of mixed Hodge structures over the punctured unit disc Δ^*. Since $\boldsymbol{W}_\bullet$ is a filtration by local subsystems, monodromy preserves $\boldsymbol{W}_\bullet$. We note that the monodromy on $\boldsymbol{H}$ is unipotent if and only if the monodromy on each $\mathrm{Gr}_k^{\boldsymbol{W}}(\boldsymbol{H}_\mathbb{Q})$ is unipotent. We choose a reference fiber $(H, W_\bullet, F^\bullet, Q_k)$ of $(\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{W}_\bullet, \mathcal{F}^\bullet, \boldsymbol{Q}_k)$. We denote by $N = \log T$ the logarithm of monodromy acting on H. Clearly, if T is unipotent, then N is nilpotent.

Assume that $\boldsymbol{H}$ is unipotent, then there exists a canonical (Deligne) extension $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ of $\mathcal{H}$. Both the weight filtration and the graded-polarization extend naturally to $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, and in particular each $\mathrm{Gr}_k^{\boldsymbol{W}}\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ is the canonical extension of $\mathrm{Gr}_k^{\boldsymbol{W}}\mathcal{H}$. Moreover, for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ the Hodge filtration $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \mathrm{Gr}_k^{\boldsymbol{W}}(\mathcal{H})$ extends to a Hodge filtration ${}^k\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^\bullet$ of $\mathrm{Gr}_k^{\boldsymbol{W}}\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$.

We come now to the main definition of this section: we call $(\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{W}_\bullet, \mathcal{F}^\bullet, \boldsymbol{Q}_k)$ *admissible* if

(1) monodromy is unipotent,
(2) the logarithm of monodromy N has a weight filtration $M_\bullet(V, W_\bullet, N)$ relative to $W_\bullet$ on V,
(3) the Hodge filtration $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$ extends into a filtration $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^\bullet$ of the canonical extension $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ which for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ induces ${}^k\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^\bullet$ on $\mathrm{Gr}_k^{\boldsymbol{W}}\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$.

Assume now that X is an open submanifold of a manifold $\overline{X}$, where $D = \overline{X} \setminus X$ is a normal crossings divisor. Let $(\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{W}_\bullet, \mathcal{F}^\bullet, \boldsymbol{Q}_k)$ be a graded-polarized variation of mixed Hodge structures over the complex manifold X. We then call the variation

$(\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{W}_\bullet, \mathcal{F}^\bullet, \boldsymbol{Q}_k)$ admissible if the local monodromies around all branches of D are unipotent, and if for all holomorphic test curves $\bar{f}\colon \Delta \to \overline{X}$ the variation $f^*\boldsymbol{H}$ on Δ^* is admissible. Here we denote by f the restriction of $\bar{f}$ to Δ^*.

In algebraic geometry, admissible variations come about as follows. Let $\pi\colon Y \to X$ be a morphism of complex algebraic varieties. Then there is an open subset $\iota\colon U \to X$ and a finite étale map $f\colon \widetilde{U} \to U$ such that the local system $\boldsymbol{H} = (\iota \circ f)^* \mathrm{R}^i\pi_*\mathbb{Z}_Y$ has a canonical structure of admissible graded-polarized variation of mixed Hodge structures $(\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{W}_\bullet, \mathcal{F}^\bullet, \boldsymbol{Q}_k)$.

In general, the usual cohomological operations like direct images or relative cohomology will produce a *mixed Hodge module* [17, 18] which is a generalization of the notion of admissible variations of mixed Hodge structures. There is a criterion for when a mixed Hodge module is indeed an admissible variation of mixed Hodge structures: Given a polarizable mixed Hodge module $\boldsymbol{H}$, if the underlying perverse sheaf is a local system with unipotent monodromy, then $\boldsymbol{H}$ is a polarizable admissible variation of mixed Hodge structures. See for instance [2] for a survey on mixed Hodge modules.

For admissible variations of mixed Hodge structures we have the following compatibility between the graded polarization and the monodromy. Let $(H, W_\bullet, F^\bullet, Q_k)$ be a reference fiber of the variation near the boundary divisor $D = \overline{X} \setminus X$ of the smooth algebraic variety X. We denote the local monodromy operators around the branches of D by $T_1, \ldots, T_m$, and the corresponding monodromy logarithms by $N_1, \ldots, N_m$. We denote by $\mathfrak{g}$ the Lie algebra $\operatorname{Lie} G(\mathbb{R})$ of the real points $G(\mathbb{R})$ of the algebraic group $G = G(H, W_\bullet, Q_k)$ defined above. Then the T_i belong to $G(\mathbb{R})$, and the N_i belong to $\mathfrak{g}$, for each $i = 1, \ldots, m$. The $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$-span $\mathcal{C}$ of the local monodromy logarithms N_i inside $\mathfrak{g}$ is called the *open monodromy cone* of the reference fiber $(H, W_\bullet, F^\bullet, Q_k)$. Each element of $\mathcal{C}$ is nilpotent, and it can be proved that the relative weight filtration of $(H, W_\bullet)$ is constant on $\mathcal{C}$.

The period map. To an admissible graded-polarized variation of mixed Hodge structures $(\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{W}_\bullet, \mathcal{F}^\bullet, \boldsymbol{Q}_k)$ over $X = (\Delta^*)^k \times \Delta^{n-k}$ we have naturally associated a period map, as follows. Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(h)$ be the period domain associated to $(H, W_\bullet, Q_k)$ and set $G = G(H, W_\bullet, Q_k)$ as above. Let $\Gamma \subset G(\mathbb{Q})$ be the image of the monodromy representation $\rho\colon \pi_1(X, x_0) \to G(\mathbb{Q})$. The period map $\phi\colon X \to \Gamma \setminus \mathcal{M}$ is then the map that associates to $x \in X$ the Hodge filtration of $\boldsymbol{H}_x$. The period map is locally liftable and holomorphic.

Let $\mathbb{H} \subset \mathbb{C}$ be the Siegel upper half plane. Let $e\colon \mathbb{H}^k \to (\Delta^*)^k$ be the uniformization map given by $(z_1, \ldots, z_k) \mapsto (\exp(2\pi i z_1), \ldots, \exp(2\pi i z_k))$. Then along e the period map ϕ lifts to a map $\tilde{\phi}\colon \mathbb{H}^k \times \Delta^{n-k} \to \mathcal{M}$. In other words, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{H}^k \times \Delta^{n-k} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}} & \mathcal{M} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle (e,\mathrm{id})} & & \downarrow \\ (\Delta^*)^k \times \Delta^{n-k} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \Gamma \setminus \mathcal{M} \end{array}$$

where the right hand arrow is the canonical projection. Write $T_i = \exp(N_i)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$. As $N_i \in \operatorname{Lie} G(\mathbb{R})$ we find $\exp(\sum_{i=1}^k z_i N_i) \in G(\mathbb{C})$ for all $z_1, \ldots, z_k \in \mathbb{H}$.

Let $\tilde{\psi}\colon \mathbb{H}^k \times \Delta^{n-k} \to \check{\mathcal{M}}$ be the map given by

$$\tilde{\psi}(z_1, \dots, z_k, q_{k+1}, \dots, q_n) = \exp(-\sum_{i=1}^{k} z_i N_i).\tilde{\phi}(z_1, \dots, z_k, q_{k+1}, \dots, q_n)\,.$$

Then $\tilde{\psi}$ descends to an "untwisted" period map $\psi\colon (\Delta^*)^k \times \Delta^{n-k} \to \check{\mathcal{M}}$, fitting in a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{H}^k \times \Delta^{n-k} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\psi}} & \check{\mathcal{M}} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow_{\psi} & \\ (\Delta^*)^k \times \Delta^{n-k} & & \end{array}$$

Note that, importantly, the map ψ takes values in the compact dual $\check{\mathcal{M}}$, and not in a quotient of it.

Nilpotent orbit theorem. The following result is the starting point of G. Pearlstein's Nilpotent orbit theorem (cf. [16, Section 6]) for admissible graded-polarized variations of mixed Hodge structures and is enough for showing the estimates we need.

Theorem 2.10. *(G. Pearlstein) Let $(\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{W}_\bullet, \mathcal{F}^\bullet, \boldsymbol{Q}_k)$ be an admissible graded-polarized variation of mixed Hodge structures over $X = (\Delta^*)^k \times \Delta^{n-k}$. Then the untwisted period map ψ extends to a holomorphic map $\psi\colon \Delta^n \to \check{\mathcal{M}}$.*

2.4. **Families of pointed polarized abelian varieties.** Let $(\pi\colon Y \to X, \lambda)$ be a family of polarized abelian varieties over a smooth algebraic variety X. Assume that $\overline{X} \supset X$ is a smooth complex algebraic variety, with $D = \overline{X} \setminus X$ a normal crossings divisor. Denote by $\mathcal{P}$ the Poincaré bundle on $Y \times_X Y^\vee$ with its canonical C^∞ hermitian metric as described above. Given two algebraic sections $\nu, \mu\colon X \to Y$ we will denote

$$\mathcal{P}_{\nu,\mu} = (\nu, \lambda\mu)^*\mathcal{P}, \qquad \mathcal{P}_\nu = \mathcal{P}_{\nu,\nu},$$

where $\lambda\colon Y \to Y^\vee$ is the isogeny provided by the polarization. We are interested in studying the singularities of the metric of $\mathcal{P}_{\nu,\mu}$ when we approach the boundary of X.

Consider the maps

$$m, p_{1,3}, p_{1,4}, p_{2,3}, p_{2,4}\colon Y \times_X Y \times_X Y^\vee \times_X Y^\vee \longrightarrow Y \times_X Y^\vee\,,$$

where $m(x, y, z, t) = (x + y, z + t)$ and $p_{i,j}$ is the projection over the factors i, j. Then we have a canonical isomorphism

$$m^*\mathcal{P} \xrightarrow{\sim} p_{1,3}^*\mathcal{P} \otimes p_{1,4}^*\mathcal{P} \otimes p_{2,3}^*\mathcal{P} \otimes p_{2,4}^*\mathcal{P}\,, \tag{2.19}$$

of holomorphic line bundles over $Y \times_X Y \times_X Y^\vee \times_X Y^\vee$, in other words, the Poincaré bundle is a biextension on $Y \times_X Y^\vee$. The explicit description of the cocycle $b_{\mathcal{P}}$ in equation (2.12) and of the metric of the Poincaré bundle in Remark 2.8 shows that the canonical isomorphism (2.19) is in fact an isometry for the canonical induced metrics on left and right hand side. We obtain in particular

Lemma 2.11. *Let $\nu_1, \nu_2, \mu_1, \mu_2$ be holomorphic sections of the family $Y \to X$. Then we have a canonical isometry*

$$(\nu_1 + \nu_2, \lambda(\mu_1 + \mu_2))^*\mathcal{P} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\nu_1, \lambda\mu_1)^*\mathcal{P} \otimes (\nu_1, \lambda\mu_2)^*\mathcal{P} \otimes (\nu_2, \lambda\mu_1)^*\mathcal{P} \otimes (\nu_2, \lambda\mu_2)^*\mathcal{P}$$

of hermitian line bundles on X.

As consequence of this lemma, in order to study the singularities of the metric on $\mathcal{P}_{\nu,\mu}$ it suffices to study the singularities of the metric on $\mathcal{P}_\nu$, $\mathcal{P}_\mu$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\nu+\mu}$. In particular, for the purpose of proving our main results, it suffices to focus on the diagonal cases $\mathcal{P}_\nu$. Thus let ν be an algebraic section of the family $Y \to X$. Let x_0 be a point of D. The purpose of the present section is to give an asymptotic expansion of the logarithm of the norm of a section of $\mathcal{P}_\nu$ near x_0. From equation (2.18) it follows that it suffices to give asymptotic expansions of the period matrix of the family $Y \to X$, and of the period vector (see below) associated to ν. To this end we make Pearlstein's result concrete for the case of the period map on a family of polarized abelian varietes together with a section $(Y \to X, \nu)$.

Period vectors. Assume that $(H, F^\bullet, Q)$ is a polarized pure Hodge structure of weight -1, type $(-1,0),(0,-1)$ and rank $2g$. Recall that given a $\mathbb{Q}$-symplectic integral basis $(a_1,\dots,a_g,b_1,\dots,b_g)$ of (H,Q), there exists a unique basis $(w_1,\dots,w_g)$ of $F^0H_\mathbb{C}$ determined by demanding that $w_i = -\sum_{j=1}^g \Omega_{ij}a_j + b_i$ for some (period) matrix $\Omega \in M_g(\mathbb{C})$ (cf. equation (2.9)). We call this new basis the associated *normalized* basis. As we have seen, the Riemann bilinear relations imply that $\Omega^t\Delta = \Delta\Omega$ and $\Delta \operatorname{Im}\Omega > 0$.

Assume an extension

$$0 \to H \to H' \to \mathbb{Z}(0) \to 0 \tag{2.20}$$

in the category of mixed Hodge structures is given. Then H' has weight filtration

$$W_\bullet\colon \quad 0 \subset W_{-1} = H_\mathbb{Q} \subset W_0 = H'_\mathbb{Q}\,.$$

Taking $F^0(-)_\mathbb{C}$ in (2.20) yields the extension

$$0 \to F^0H_\mathbb{C} \to F^0H'_\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} \to 0$$

of $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces. As can be readily checked, for each $a_0 \in H'$ that lifts the canonical generator of $\mathbb{Z}(0)$ in (2.20) there exists a unique $w_0 \in F^0H'_\mathbb{C}$ such that $w_0 \in a_0 + \mathbb{C}\text{-span}(a_1,\dots,a_g)$. Given such a lift a_0, we let $\delta_{H'} = (\delta_1,\dots,\delta_g)^t \in \operatorname{Col}_g(\mathbb{C})$ be the coordinate vector determined by the identity $w_0 = a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^g \delta_j a_j$. We call $\delta_{H'}$ the *period vector* of the mixed Hodge structure $(H', F^\bullet, W_\bullet)$ on the basis $(a_0, a_1,\dots,a_g,b_1,\dots,b_g)$ of the $\mathbb{Z}$-module H'. It can be verified that replacing a_0 by some element from $a_0 + H$ changes δ by an element of $\mathbb{Z}^g + \Omega\mathbb{Z}^g$. The resulting map $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathrm{MHS}}(\mathbb{Z}(0),H) \to \mathbb{C}^g/(\mathbb{Z}^g + \Omega\mathbb{Z}^g)$ is finite, and gives $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathrm{MHS}}(\mathbb{Z}(0),H)$ a canonical structure of complex torus.

Let A be a polarized complex abelian variety of dimension g. Let $H = H_1(A)$; then H carries a canonical pure polarized Hodge structure of type $(-1,0),(0,-1)$. Let $\nu \in A$, and write $H(\nu)$ for the relative homology group $H_1(A,\{0,\nu\})$. There is an extension of mixed Hodge structures

$$0 \to H \to H(\nu) \to \mathbb{Z}(0) \to 0$$

canonically associated to (A,ν). Here $\mathbb{Z}(0)$ is to be identified with the reduced homology group $\tilde{H}_0(\{0,\nu\})$. The map $A \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathrm{MHS}}(\mathbb{Z}(0),H)$ given by sending ν to the extension $H(\nu)$ is a bijection, compatible with the structure of complex torus on left and right hand side.

The period map of a family of pointed polarized abelian varieties. Let $\pi\colon Y \to X$ be a family of polarized abelian varieties, and assume that $\overline{X} \supset X$ is a complex algebraic variety, with $D = \overline{X} \setminus X$ a normal crossings divisor. As we work locally

complex analytically, we will suppose that $\overline{X}$ is the polydisk Δ^n, and D is the divisor given by the equation $q_1 \cdots q_k = 0$, so that $X = (\Delta^*)^k \times \Delta^{n-k}$.

We assume that all local monodromy operators $T_1, \ldots, T_k$ about the various branches determined by $q_1, \ldots, q_k$ are unipotent (for instance, assume that the family extends as a semiabelian scheme $\overline{Y} \to \overline{X}$). Let $\boldsymbol{H} = R^1\pi_*\mathbb{Z}_Y(1)$. Let g be the relative dimension of $Y \to X$. Then $\boldsymbol{H}$ underlies a canonical admissible variation of pure polarized Hodge structure $(\boldsymbol{H}, \mathcal{F}^\bullet, \boldsymbol{Q})$ of type $(-1,0),(0,-1)$ and rank $2g$ over X. We will henceforth usually suppress the polarization from our notation.

Let $(H, F^\bullet)$ be a reference fiber of $\boldsymbol{H}$ near the origin. Let N be any element of the open monodromy cone of H. Then we have $N^2 = 0$ and the filtration associated to N simply reads

$$0 \subset M_{-2} \subset M_{-1} \subset M_0 = H_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

with $M_{-2} = \operatorname{Im} N$ and $M_{-1} = \operatorname{Ker} N$. Since N belongs to the Lie algebra of $G(H)(\mathbb{R})$, there exist a $\mathbb{Q}$-symplectic integral basis $(a_1, \ldots, a_g, b_1, \ldots, b_g)$ of (H, Q) and a non-negative integer $r \leq g$ such that:

(1) $M_{-2} = \operatorname{span}(a_1, \ldots, a_r)$,
(2) $M_{-1} = \operatorname{span}(a_1, \ldots, a_g, b_{r+1}, \ldots, b_g)$.

In particular, $(\bar{a}_{r+1}, \ldots, \bar{a}_g, \bar{b}_{r+1}, \ldots, \bar{b}_g)$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-symplectic integral basis of the pure polarized Hodge structure $\operatorname{Gr}^M_{-1} H$ of type $(-1,0),(0,-1)$. Clearly, with respect to this basis, each local monodromy operator N_j has the form

$$N_j = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & A'_j \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array}\right).$$

Each A'_j is integral and the g-by-g matrices $A_j \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Delta A'_j$ are symmetric and positive semidefinite. Moreover, the left upper r-by-r block of A_j is positive definite.

To simplify the notation by avoiding the appearance of the polarization matrix Δ we will sometimes replace the $\mathbb{Q}$-sympletic integral basis (a, b) by the symplectic $\mathbb{Q}$-basis $(a\Delta^{-1}, b)$. In this new basis each local monodromy operator N_j has the form

$$N_j = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & A_j \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array}\right).$$

On this new basis we can realize the period domain associated to H as the usual Siegel's upper half space $\mathbb{H}_g$ of rank g. We have $G(H) = \operatorname{Sp}(2g)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, and the action of $G(H)(\mathbb{R})$ on $\mathbb{H}_g$ is given by the usual prescription

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & B \\ \hline C & D \end{array}\right) \cdot M = (AM + B)(CM + D)^{-1}, \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & B \\ \hline C & D \end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{R}), M \in \mathbb{H}_g.$$

In this representation the period map $\Omega\colon X \to \Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}_g$ is made explicit by associating to each $x \in X$ the matrix $\Omega(x) = \Delta\Omega_{Y_x}$, where Ω_{Y_x} is the period matrix of the fibre Y_x on the chosen $\mathbb{Q}$-symplectic integral basis of H. Here Γ is the image of the monodromy representation into $G(H)(\mathbb{Q}) = \operatorname{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Q})$. In the new basis, the monodromy representation sends the local monodromy operator T_j to the matrix

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & A_j \\ \hline 0 & 1 \end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Q}).$$

We will now extend this picture to include the section ν. Varying $x \in X$ we obtain a canonical extension

$$0 \to \boldsymbol{H} \to \boldsymbol{H}(\nu) \to \mathbb{Z}(0) \to 0$$

of variations of mixed Hodge structure. The weight filtration of this variation looks like

$$W_\bullet \colon \quad 0 \subset \boldsymbol{W}_{-1} = \boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbb{Q}} \subset \boldsymbol{W}_0 = \boldsymbol{H}(\nu)_{\mathbb{Q}}\,,$$

so that $\mathrm{Gr}^{\boldsymbol{W}}_{-1}\boldsymbol{H}(\nu)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\mathrm{Gr}^{\boldsymbol{W}}_{0}\boldsymbol{H}(\nu) = \mathbb{Q}(0)$. We denote the Hodge filtration of $\boldsymbol{H}(\nu)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ by $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$. We start by taking a reference fiber $H(\nu)$ of $\boldsymbol{H}(\nu)$ and augmenting our chosen $\mathbb{Q}$-symplectic integral basis of H by an $a_0 \in H(\nu)$ lifting the canonical generator of $\mathbb{Z}(0)$ as before.

Note that $\boldsymbol{H}(\nu)$ is an admissible variation of graded-polarized mixed Hodge structures. Hence the relative weight filtration $M'_\bullet$ on our reference fiber $H(\nu)$ exists. Let N' be an element of the open monodromy cone of $H(\nu)$ such that $N = N'|_H$. We will now proceed to determine the matrix shape of N' on the basis $(a_0, a\Delta^{-1}, b)$ of $H(\nu)$. As $N'^2 = 0$, the filtration associated to N' on $H(\nu)$ is

$$L_\bullet \colon \quad 0 \subset L_{-1} \subset L_0 \subset L_1 = H(\nu)_{\mathbb{Q}}\,,$$

with $L_{-1} = \mathrm{Im}(N')$, $L_0 = \mathrm{Ker}(N')$. As the monodromy action on $\mathrm{Gr}^W_0 = \mathbb{Q}(0)$ is trivial, we have that $\mathrm{Im}(N') \subset H_{\mathbb{Q}}$, so that $N'^{-1}H_{\mathbb{Q}} = H(\nu)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. As $W_\bullet$ has length two, and as by admissibility the weight filtration of N' relative to $W_\bullet$ exists, as we noted above it follows that N' is strict. Explicitly, we have that $H(\nu)_{\mathbb{Q}} = N'^{-1}H_{\mathbb{Q}} = H_{\mathbb{Q}} + \mathrm{Ker}(N')$. The equality $H(\nu)_{\mathbb{Q}} = H_{\mathbb{Q}} + \mathrm{Ker}(N')$ implies that $\mathrm{Ker}(N') \supsetneq \mathrm{Ker}(N)$ and hence that $\mathrm{Im}(N') = \mathrm{Im}(N)$.

The period domain associated to $(H(\nu), W_\bullet)$ can be realized as $\mathbb{C}^g \times \mathbb{H}_g$. The associated algebraic group has $\mathbb{R}$-points

$$G(H(\nu), W_\bullet)(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline m & A & B \\ \hline n & C & D \end{array}\right) \,:\, m, n \in \mathbb{R}^g\,,\ \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & B \\ \hline C & D \end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{R}) \right\}.$$

The action of $G(H(\nu), W_\bullet)(\mathbb{R})$ on $\mathbb{C}^g \times \mathbb{H}_g$ is given by

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline m & A & B \\ \hline n & C & D \end{array}\right)(v, M) = (v + m + Mn, (AM + B)(CM + D)^{-1})\,,\ v \in \mathbb{C}^g\,,\ M \in \mathbb{H}_g\,.$$

Varying $x \in X$ and then taking F^0 we obtain a period map associated to the variation $\boldsymbol{H}(\nu)$

$$(\delta, \Omega) \colon X \to \Gamma \setminus (\mathbb{C}^g \times \mathbb{H}_g)$$

that is given by

$$(\delta(x), \Omega(x)) = (\Delta\delta_{H(\nu(x))}, \Delta\Omega_{Y_x}).$$

We denote by

$$(\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\Omega}) \colon \mathbb{H}^k \times \Delta^{n-k} \to \mathbb{C}^g \times \mathbb{H}_g$$

a lift of the period map. As in the previous section we denote by $e \colon \mathbb{H}^k \to (\Delta^*)^k$ the map

$$e(z_1, \ldots, z_k) = (\exp(2\pi i z_1), \ldots, \exp(2\pi i z_k)).$$

Theorem 2.12. *There exist a holomorphic map $\psi\colon \Delta^n \to S_g(\mathbb{C})$, a holomorphic map $\alpha\colon \Delta^n \to \mathbb{C}^g$, and vectors $c_1, \dots, c_k \in \mathbb{Q}^g$ with $\Delta^{-1}A_jc_j \in \mathbb{Z}^g$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$ such that for $(z,t) \in \mathbb{H}^k \times \Delta^{n-k}$ with $e(z)$ sufficiently close to zero the equalities*

$$\tilde{\Omega}(z,t) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} z_j A_j + \psi(e(z),t)\,, \quad \tilde{\delta}(z,t) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} z_j A_j c_j + \alpha(e(z),t)$$

hold in $S_g(\mathbb{C})$ resp. $\mathbb{C}^g$.

Proof. Let N_j denote the local monodromy operator of H around the branch of D determined by $q_j = 0$. We have

$$\exp(z_jN_j) = T_j^{z_j} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & z_jA_j \\ \hline 0 & 1 \end{array}\right)$$

and hence $\exp(z_jN_j).M = z_jA_j + M$ for each $M \in \mathbb{H}_g$, $z_j \in U$, and $j = 1, \dots, k$ (here U is an open subset of $\mathbb{H}$ consisting of points with sufficiently large imaginary part). Denote by $\mathbb{P}_g$ the compact dual of $\mathbb{H}_g$. The untwisted period map $\psi\colon \Delta^n \to \mathbb{P}_g$ obtained by Theorem 2.10 extending $\exp(-\sum_{j=1}^{k} z_jN_j).\tilde{\Omega}(z,t)$ factors through $S_g(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathbb{P}_g$. We obtain the equalities

$$\tilde{\Omega}(z,t) = \exp(\sum_{j=1}^{k} z_jN_j).\psi(e(z),t) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} z_jA_j + \psi(e(z),t)$$

in $S_g(\mathbb{C})$.

Let N_j' denote the local monodromy operator of $H(\nu)$ around the branch of D determined by $q_j = 0$. The equality $\mathrm{Im}(N_j') = \mathrm{Im}(N_j'|_{H_\mathbb{Q}})$ on $H(\nu)_\mathbb{Q}$ that follows from our above considerations shows that N_j' has a matrix

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Delta^{-1}A_jc_j & 0 & \Delta^{-1}A_j \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

on the integral basis $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_g, b_1, \dots, b_g)$, for some $c_j \in \mathbb{Q}^g$. Since the monodromy is integral in such basis, we deduce that $\Delta^{-1}A_jc_j$ has to be integral. In the $\mathbb{Q}$-basis $(a_0, a\Delta^{-1}, b)$, the matrix of N_j' is

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline A_jc_j & 0 & A_j \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

Then for $(v,M) \in \mathbb{C}^g \times \mathbb{H}_g$ and $z_j \in U$ we have $\exp(z_jN_j').(v,M) = (v + z_jA_jc_j, M + z_jA_j)$. Let $(\alpha,\psi)\colon \Delta^n \to \mathbb{C}^g \times \mathbb{P}_g$ denote the untwisted period map. We find the equalities

$$\tilde{\delta}(z,t) = \exp(\sum_{j=1}^{k} z_jN_j').\alpha(e(z),t) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} z_jA_jc_j + \alpha(e(z),t)$$

in $\mathbb{C}^g$. □

The norm of a section. We use now Theorem 2.12 to obtain an expression of the norm of a section of $\mathcal{P}_\nu$. Let $||-||$ denote the canonical metric on $\mathcal{P}_\nu = \nu^*\mathcal{P}$. Continuing the notation from the previous theorem, let $a = 2\pi\,\mathrm{Im}\,\alpha$ and $B = 2\pi\,\mathrm{Im}\,\psi$. For $j = 1, \dots, k$ let $x_j = -\log|t_j|$.

Corollary 2.13. *For all trivializing sections s of $\mathcal{P}_\nu$ on $(\Delta^*)^k \times \Delta^{n-k}$ there exists a meromorphic function h on Δ^n which is holomorphic on $(\Delta^*)^k \times \Delta^{n-k}$, such that on $(\Delta^*)^k \times \Delta^{n-k}$ the identity*
(2.21)

$$-\log||s|| = -\log|h| + \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j A_j c_j + a\right)^t \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j A_j + B\right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j A_j c_j + a\right)$$

holds.

Proof. The vector z and the matrix Ω in Theorem 2.9 are expressed in the integral basis (a, b), while $\delta(x)$ and $\Omega(x)$ are expressed in the $\mathbb{Q}$-basis $(a\Delta^{-1}, b)$. Writing $z = \Delta^{-1}\delta(x)$ and $\Omega = \Delta^{-1}\Omega(x)$, we obtain that

$$-\log||s(x)|| = -\log|h(x)| + 2\pi(\operatorname{Im}\delta(x))^t(\operatorname{Im}\Omega(x))^{-1}(\operatorname{Im}\delta(x))$$

for a suitable meromorphic function h on Δ^n which is holomorphic on $(\Delta^*)^k \times \Delta^{n-k}$. Note that, even though $\Omega(x), \delta(x)$ are multivalued, their imaginary parts are single valued. From Theorem 2.12 we obtain, noting that $\operatorname{Im} z_j = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\log|t_j|$,

$$\operatorname{Im}\Omega(x) = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{j=1}^k A_j \log|t_j| + \operatorname{Im}\psi\,, \quad \operatorname{Im}\delta(x) = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{j=1}^k A_j c_j \log|t_j| + \operatorname{Im}\alpha\,.$$

Combining we find equation (2.21). □

3. Normlike functions

The purpose of this section is to carry out a systematic study of the functions

$$\varphi = \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j A_j c_j + a\right)^t \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j A_j + B\right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j A_j c_j + a\right)$$

that appear on the right hand side of the equality in Corollary 2.13. We call such functions *normlike* functions. We show that such functions have a well-defined recession function $\operatorname{rec}\varphi$ with respect to the variables x_j, and we are able to calculate $\operatorname{rec}\varphi$ explicitly. As it turns out, the function $\operatorname{rec}\varphi$ is homogeneous of weight one in the variables x_j. In our main technical lemma Theorem 3.2 we give bounds for the difference $\varphi - \operatorname{rec}\varphi$ and, in the case where $k = 1$, for the first and second order derivatives of $\varphi - \operatorname{rec}\varphi$. The bound on the difference will be key to the proof of our first main result Theorem 1.1, the bounds on the derivatives will be used in our proof of Theorem 1.3. In section 3.4 we prove, among other things, that the recession functions $\operatorname{rec}\varphi$ are convex. This will lead to the effectivity statement in Theorem 1.5.

3.1. **Some definitions.** Recall that we have denoted by $M_r(\mathbb{R})$ the space of r-by-r matrices with real coefficients, by $S_r^+(\mathbb{R}) \subset M_r(\mathbb{R})$ the cone of symmetric positive semidefinite real matrices inside $M_r(\mathbb{R})$, and by $S_r^{++}(\mathbb{R}) \subset S_r^+(\mathbb{R})$ the cone of symmetric positive definite real matrices. We endow these spaces with their canonical real manifold structure.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $N_1, \dots, N_k$ be a finite set of positive semidefinite symmetric real g-by-g matrices such that $N_1 + \cdots + N_k$ has rank r. Then there exists an orthogonal matrix $u \in O_g(\mathbb{R})$ such that, upon writing $M_i = u^t N_i u$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, we have*

$$M_i = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} M_i' & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array}\right),$$

with all $M_i' \in S_r^+(\mathbb{R})$ and $\sum M_i' \in S_r^{++}(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. It will be convenient to use the language of bilinear forms. If Q is a symmetric positive semidefinite bilinear form on $\mathbb{R}^g$ and $f_1, \dots, f_g$ is a basis of $\mathbb{R}^g$ such that $Q(f_\alpha, f_\alpha) = 0$ for $\alpha = r+1, \dots, g$, then $Q(f_\alpha, f_\beta) = 0$ for $\beta = 1, \dots, g$ and $\alpha = r+1, \dots, g$. Indeed, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $Q(\lambda f_\alpha - f_\beta, \lambda f_\alpha - f_\beta) \geq 0$, that is

$$-2\lambda Q(f_\alpha, f_\beta) + Q(f_\beta, f_\beta) \geq 0\,.$$

Since this inequality is satisfied for all λ we deduce that $Q(f_\alpha, f_\beta) = 0$.

Let $N = N_1 + \cdots + N_k$, and denote by Q the symmetric positive semidefinite bilinear form that N defines on the standard basis $(e_1, \dots, e_g)$ of $\mathbb{R}^g$. Note that Q has rank r. By the spectral theorem, upon replacing the basis $(e_1, \dots, e_g)$ of $\mathbb{R}^g$ by $(f_1, \dots, f_g) = (e_1, \dots, e_g)u$ for some orthogonal matrix u we can assume that the expression of Q in the basis $(f_1, \dots, f_g)$ is

$$M = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array}\right),$$

with $A \in S_r^+(\mathbb{R})$ invertible and diagonal. In particular, $Q(f_\alpha, f_\alpha) = 0$ for $\alpha = r+1, \dots, g$. For $i = 1, \dots, k$ let Q_i denote the symmetric positive semi-definite bilinear form that N_i defines on the standard basis $(e_1, \dots, e_g)$ of $\mathbb{R}^g$. Note that $Q = Q_1 + \cdots + Q_k$. Since all the Q_i are positive semidefinite, we deduce that $Q_i(f_\alpha, f_\alpha) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Note that $M_i = u^t N_i u$ is the expression of Q_i in the basis $(f_1, \dots, f_g)$. By the previous discussion we have

$$M_i = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} M_i' & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array}\right),$$

with $M_i' \in S_r^+(\mathbb{R})$ and $\sum M_i' = A \in S_r^{++}(\mathbb{R})$, proving the lemma. □

Suppose we are given the following data:

- three integers $k \geq 0$, $m \geq 0$, $g \geq 0$;
- a real number $\kappa \geq 0$;
- a compact subset $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$;
- matrices $A_1, \dots, A_k \in S_g^+(\mathbb{R})$ all of rank ≥ 1;
- vectors $c_1, \dots, c_k \in \mathbb{R}^g$;
- functions $a\colon K \to \mathbb{R}^g$ and $B\colon K \to S_g(\mathbb{R})$ which are restrictions of smooth functions on some open neighbourhood of K;

such that for all $(x_1, \dots, x_k, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R}^k_{>\kappa} \times K$, we have that

$$P(x_1, \dots, x_k, \lambda) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i A_i + B(\lambda) > 0\,. \tag{3.1}$$

Note that if $g = 0$, then necessarily $k = 0$.

To these data we associate a smooth function $\varphi\colon \mathbb{R}^k_{>\kappa} \times K \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\varphi(x_1,\dots,x_k,\lambda) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^k x_i A_i c_i + a(\lambda)\right)^t \left(\sum_{i=1}^k x_i A_i + B(\lambda)\right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k x_i A_i c_i + a(\lambda)\right). \tag{3.2}$$

By condition (3.1), the function φ is well-defined and its values are non-negative. We call φ the *normlike* function associated to the 4-tuple $((A_i),(c_i),a,B)$. We call the natural number k the *dimension* of φ. Write $r = \operatorname{rk}\sum_{i=1}^k x_i A_i$ for some (hence all) $(x_1,\dots,x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k_{>\kappa}$. Note that $r \geq 1$ if $k > 0$.

Let $u \in O_g(\mathbb{R})$. Replacing the vector c_i by $u^{-1}c_i$, a by $u^{-1}a$, the matrix B by u^tBu and A_i by u^tA_iu one checks that the function φ remains unchanged. By Lemma 3.1 we can thus restrict to considering normlike functions where the A_i have the shape

$$A_i = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A_i' & 0_{r,g-r} \\ \hline 0_{g-r,r} & 0_{g-r,g-r} \end{array}\right),$$

with each $A_i' \in S_r^+(\mathbb{R})$ and such that $\sum x_i A_i' \in S_r^{++}(\mathbb{R})$ for all $(x_1,\dots,x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k_{>\kappa}$ (hence for all $(x_1,\dots,x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k_{>0}$).

From now on we assume that the matrices A_i indeed have this shape. We write

$$c_i = \left(\begin{array}{c} c_i' \\ \hline \star_{g-r} \end{array}\right), \quad a = \left(\begin{array}{c} a_1 \\ \hline a_2 \end{array}\right), \quad \text{and} \quad B = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ \hline B_{21} & B_{22} \end{array}\right)$$

where c_i' and a_1 have size r, and B_{11} is an r-by-r matrix. The second block of the vector c_i is marked with an asterisk because the function φ is independent of its value. Condition 3.1 implies that $B_{22}(\lambda)$ is positive definite for all $\lambda \in K$, and the symmetry of B implies that $B_{21} = B_{12}^t$.

We define another smooth function $f\colon \mathbb{R}^k_{>\kappa} \times K \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f(x_1,\dots,x_k,\lambda) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^k x_i A_i' c_i'\right)^t \left(\sum_{i=1}^k x_i A_i'\right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k x_i A_i' c_i'\right). \tag{3.3}$$

This function f is well defined as $\sum_{i=1}^k x_i A_i'$ is positive definite on $\mathbb{R}^k_{>0}$. The function f depends trivially on λ and is clearly homogeneous of degree 1 in the x_i, and so defines a smooth function $\mathbb{R}^k_{>0} \to \mathbb{R}$, which we also call f. Again, the values of f are non-negative. By convention, if $k = 0$, the function f is zero.

Finally, the "recession" of φ is defined as the pointwise limit

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \operatorname{rec}\varphi\colon & \mathbb{R}^k_{>\kappa} \times K & \to & \mathbb{R} \\ & (x_1,\dots,x_k,\lambda) & \mapsto & \lim_{\mu\to\infty} \frac{1}{\mu}\varphi(\mu x_1,\dots,\mu x_k,\lambda), \end{array}$$

if it exists. Again, if $k = 0$, then $\operatorname{rec}\varphi = 0$.

3.2. **Statement of the technical lemma.** We can now state the "main technical lemma":

Theorem 3.2. *In the notation of the previous section, write $\varphi_0 = \varphi - f$. Note that φ_0 is a smooth function on $\mathbb{R}_{>\kappa} \times K$. Then*

1. *the function $|\varphi_0|$ is bounded on $\mathbb{R}^k_{>\kappa'} \times K$ for some $\kappa' \geq \kappa$. The recession of φ exists and is equal to f. In particular, $\operatorname{rec}\varphi$ is independent of the parameter λ;*

(2) *the function f is bounded on the open simplex $\Delta^0 = \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k_{>0} : \sum_{i=1}^k x_i = 1\}$;*
(3) *when $k = 1$,*
   (a) *the function $\varphi_0 \colon \mathbb{R}_{>\kappa} \times K \to \mathbb{R}$ extends continuously to a function from $\overline{\mathbb{R}_{>\kappa}} \times K$ to $\mathbb{R}$, where by $\overline{\mathbb{R}_{>\kappa}}$ we denote $\mathbb{R}_{>\kappa} \sqcup \{\infty\}$ with the natural topology;*
   (b) *the derivatives of φ_0 satisfy the estimates*

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_0}{\partial x_1} = O(x_1^{-2}) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_0}{\partial x_1^2} = O(x_1^{-3}),$$

as $x_1 \to \infty$, where the implicit constant is uniform in K.

Example 3.3. When $k > 1$, in general we can not extend φ_0 to a continuous function on $\overline{\mathbb{R}_{>\kappa}}^k \times K$ as the following example shows. Put $g = 1$, $k = 2$, $m = 0$, $A_1 = A_2 = 1$, $c_1 = 1$, $c_2 = 2$, $B = 0$, $\kappa = 1$ and $a = 1$. Then

$$\varphi_0 = \varphi - f = \frac{2(x_1 + 2x_2) + 1}{x_1 + x_2}.$$

The sequences $\{(n, n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ and $\{(n, 2n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ converge, when $n \to \infty$, to the point $(\infty, \infty) \in \overline{\mathbb{R}_{>1}}^2$. Nevertheless

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi_0(n, n) = 3, \qquad \lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi_0(n, 2n) = \frac{10}{3},$$

showing that φ_0 can not be continuously extended to $\overline{\mathbb{R}_{>1}}^2$.

Before starting the proof of Theorem 3.2 we recall a few easy statements related to Schur complements and inverting a symmetric block matrix. For a symmetric block matrix

$$M = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & B \\ \hline B^t & C \end{array}\right)$$

with C invertible we call $A - BC^{-1}B^t$ the *Schur complement* of the block C in M. We have a product decomposition

$$M = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & B \\ \hline B^t & C \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & BC^{-1} \\ \hline 0 & 1 \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A - BC^{-1}B^t & 0 \\ \hline 0 & C \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 0 \\ \hline C^{-1}B^t & 1 \end{array}\right).$$

In particular, M is invertible if and only if $A - BC^{-1}B^t$ is invertible, and if these conditions are satisfied we have

$$M^{-1} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} (A - BC^{-1}B^t)^{-1} & -(A - BC^{-1}B^t)^{-1}BC^{-1} \\ \hline -C^{-1}B^t(A - BC^{-1}B^t)^{-1} & C^{-1} + C^{-1}B^t(A - BC^{-1}B^t)^{-1}BC^{-1} \end{array}\right).$$

Also, if M is positive semidefinite, then so is the Schur complement $A - BC^{-1}B^t$.

3.3. **Proof of the technical lemma.** First we observe that, if $k = 0$, then φ is a continuous function on a compact set, hence is bounded. Moreover, the function f is zero. Thus the statements are trivially true and we are reduced to the case $k > 0$ and hence $g > 0$.

Assume that we have already shown that $|\varphi - f|$ is bounded on $\mathbb{R}^k_{>\kappa'} \times K$. Then, for each $(x_1, \dots, x_k, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R}^k_{>\kappa'} \times K$ we have

$$\lim_{\mu \to \infty} \frac{1}{\mu} \varphi(\mu x_1, \dots, \mu x_k, \lambda) = \lim_{\mu \to \infty} \frac{1}{\mu} f(\mu x_1, \dots, \mu x_k).$$

The latter limit exists and is equal to $f(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ by weight-one-homogeneity of f. Thus the recession function of φ exists and agrees with f. In consequence, in order to prove Theorem 3.2.1 and 3.2.2 we only need to show the boundedness of $|\varphi - f|$ and of f on the required subsets.

We next show that we can assume a simplifying hypothesis.

Definition 3.4. We say that the set of symmetric positive semidefinite matrices $A_1, \dots, A_k$ satisfies the *flag condition* if $\mathrm{Ker}(A_i) \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}(A_{i+1})$, for $i = 1, \dots, k-1$.

Consider the subset

$$U = \{0 < x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \cdots \leq x_k\} \subset \mathbb{R}^k_{>0}\,.$$

Since

$$\mathbb{R}^k_{>\kappa} = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_k} \left(\sigma^{-1} U \cap \mathbb{R}^k_{>\kappa}\right)$$

and

$$\Delta^0 = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_k} \left(\sigma^{-1} U \cap \Delta^0\right)\,,$$

by symmetry it is enough to prove the boundedness of $|\varphi - f|$ in $U \cap \mathbb{R}^k_{>\kappa}$ and of f in $U \cap \Delta^0$. Writing $y_1 = x_1$, $y_i = x_i - x_{i-1}$ for $i = 2, \dots, k$ we find that $x_i = \sum_{j=1}^i y_j$ and that $U \cap \mathbb{R}^k_{>\kappa}$ is parametrized by the set $y_1 > \kappa$, $y_2, \dots, y_k \geq 0$.

Note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^k x_i A_i = \sum_{i=1}^k y_i \sum_{j=i}^k A_j \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^k x_i A_i c_i = \sum_{i=1}^k y_i \sum_{j=i}^k A_j c_j.$$

Lemma 3.5. *Writing* $\tilde{A}_i = \sum_{j=i}^k A_j$ *we have that* $\mathrm{Ker}\,\tilde{A}_i \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}\,\tilde{A}_{i+1}$. *Moreover we have* $\mathrm{Im}(A_i) = \sum_{j=i}^k \mathrm{Im}(A_j)$.

Proof. We first observe that, if A is a symmetric positive semidefinite real matrix, then $Ax = 0$ if and only if $x^t A x = 0$. Indeed, clearly $Ax = 0$ implies $x^t A x = 0$. Conversely, assume that $x^t A x = 0$ and let y be any vector. Then, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$0 \leq (y + \lambda x)^t A (y + \lambda x) = y^t A y + 2\lambda y^t A x$$

which implies that $y^t A x = 0$. Therefore $Ax = 0$.

We show that this observation implies that $\mathrm{Ker}\,\tilde{A}_i = \bigcap_{j=i}^k \mathrm{Ker}\,A_j$. We have $x \in \mathrm{Ker}\,\tilde{A}_i$ if and only if

$$0 = x^t \tilde{A}_i x = \sum_{j=i}^k x^t A_j x.$$

Since the matrices A_j are positive semidefinite this implies that $x^t A_j x = 0$, $j = i, \dots, k$. Therefore $x \in \bigcap_{j=i}^k \mathrm{Ker}\,A_j$. The converse is clear. As a result

$$\mathrm{Ker}\,\tilde{A}_i = \bigcap_{j=i}^k \mathrm{Ker}\,A_j \subseteq \bigcap_{j=i+1}^k \mathrm{Ker}\,A_j = \mathrm{Ker}\,\tilde{A}_{i+1}.$$

Since, for a symmetric positive semidefinite matrix A, the image $\mathrm{Im}(A)$ is the orthogonal complement of $\mathrm{Ker}(A)$ we deduce

$$\mathrm{Im}(A_i) = \mathrm{Ker}(A_i)^\perp = \Big(\bigcap_{j=i}^k \mathrm{Ker}(A_j)\Big)^\perp = \sum_{j=i}^k \mathrm{Ker}(A_j)^\perp = \sum_{j=i}^k \mathrm{Im}(A_j)\,.$$

This proves the lemma. □

It follows from the Lemma that there exist vectors $\tilde{c}_i \in \mathbb{R}^g$ such that

$$\sum_{j=i}^{k} A_j c_j = \tilde{A}_i \tilde{c}_i \,.$$

Replacing A_i by $\tilde{A}_i$, x_i by y_i and c_i by $\tilde{c}_i$ we are reduced to proving the boundedness of $|\varphi - f|$ on $\mathbb{R}_{>\kappa} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{k-1} \times K$ and of f on the set

$$\{(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^k : \, x_1 > 0 \,, \, x_i \geq 0 \text{ for all } i > 1, \, \sum_{i=1}^{k} (k-i+1) x_i = 1\}$$

under the extra hypothesis that the matrices $A_1, \ldots, A_k$ satisfy the flag condition from Definition 3.4. Clearly, by the homogeneity of f it is enough to prove the boundedness of f on the set

$$H = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^k : \, x_1 > 0 \,, \, x_i \geq 0 \text{ for all } i > 1, \, \sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i = 1\}.$$

From now on we assume the flag condition and we write $r_i = \mathrm{rk}(A_i)$. Then $r = r_1 \geq \cdots \geq r_k \geq 1$. Thanks to the flag condition, we can assume furthermore that the basis of $\mathbb{R}^g$ has been chosen in such a way that

$$A_i' = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A_i'' & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array}\right), \tag{3.4}$$

with $A_i'' \in S_{r_i}^{++}(\mathbb{R})$.

The following is our main estimate.

Lemma 3.6. *There exists a constant c such that for all $1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq r$ and all $(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{k-1}$ we have the following bound on the α, β-entry in the inverse of the r-by-r matrix $\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i A_i'$:*

$$\left| \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i A_i' \Big)^{-1}_{\alpha\beta} \right| \leq \frac{c}{\displaystyle\sum_{j \,:\, r_j \geq \min(\alpha,\beta)} x_j} \leq \frac{c}{x_1}.$$

Proof. This follows immediately from two intermediate results:

Claim 3.6.1. *There exists a constant $c_1 > 0$ such that for all $(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{k-1}$ we have the bound*

$$\det \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i A_i' \Big) \geq c_1 \prod_{j=1}^{r} \sum_{i : r_i \geq j} x_i > 0.$$

To prove this claim, define the r-by-r matrix

$$J_i = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \mathrm{Id}_{r_i} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array}\right). \tag{3.5}$$

Since A_i'' is positive definite, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that for all i, we have that $A_i' - \epsilon J_i$ is positive semidefinite. Then

$$\sum_i x_i A_i' = \sum_i x_i (A_i' - \epsilon J_i) + \sum_i x_i \epsilon J_i,$$

so we find

$$\det\Big(\sum_i x_i A_i'\Big) \geq \det\Big(\sum_i x_i \epsilon J_i\Big) = \epsilon^r \prod_{j=1}^{r} \sum_{i:r_i\geq j} x_i > 0$$

as required. The second intermediate result is as follows:

Claim 3.6.2. *There exists a constant $c_2 > 0$ such that for all $1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq r$ and all $(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{k-1}$ we have the following bound on the cofactors of the matrix $\sum_{i=1}^k x_i A_i'$:*

$$\left|\mathrm{cof}_{\alpha,\beta}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i A_i'\Big)\right| \leq c_2 \prod_{\substack{\alpha'=1 \\ \alpha'\neq \min(\alpha,\beta)}}^{r} \sum_{i:r_i\geq\alpha'} x_i.$$

To prove this second claim, write $A = \sum_i x_i A_i'$. Then there is a constant c_3 such that for $1 \leq \alpha', \beta' \leq r$ one has

$$\big|A_{\alpha',\beta'}\big| \leq c_3 \sum_{i:r_i\geq \max(\alpha',\beta')} x_i \leq c_3 \sum_{i:r_i\geq\alpha'} x_i.$$

Let $\sigma\colon \{1,\ldots,\hat{\alpha},\ldots,r\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{1,\ldots,\hat{\beta},\ldots,r\}$ be a bijection (the $\hat{}$ means "omit"). Then

$$\prod_{\alpha'\neq\alpha} \big|A_{\alpha',\sigma(\alpha')}\big| \leq c_3^{r-1} \prod_{\alpha'\neq\alpha} \sum_{i:r_i\geq\alpha'} x_i$$

and since $A_{\alpha',\sigma(\alpha')} = A_{\sigma(\alpha'),\alpha'}$ we also have

$$\prod_{\alpha'\neq\alpha} \big|A_{\alpha',\sigma(\alpha')}\big| \leq c_3^{r-1} \prod_{\alpha'\neq\beta} \sum_{i:r_i\geq\alpha'} x_i\,.$$

Choosing the smaller upper bound of the two we find

$$\prod_{\alpha'\neq\alpha} \big|A_{\alpha',\sigma(\alpha')}\big| \leq c_3^{r-1} \prod_{\alpha'\neq\min(\alpha,\beta)} \sum_{i:r_i\geq\alpha'} x_i$$

and hence

$$\big|\,\mathrm{cof}_{\alpha,\beta}(A)\big| \leq (r-1)!c_3^{r-1} \prod_{\alpha'\neq\min(\alpha,\beta)} \sum_{i:r_i\geq\alpha'} x_i\,.$$

This proves the second claim and, consequently, Lemma 3.6. □

Proof of Theorem 3.2.2. From Lemma 3.6 we deduce the existence of a constant $c_4 > 0$ such that, for all $1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq r$,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\Big(\sum x_i A_i' c_i'\Big)_\alpha^t \Big(\sum x_i A_i'\Big)_{\alpha,\beta}^{-1} \Big(\sum x_i A_i' c_i'\Big)_\beta\right| &\leq c_4 \cdot \frac{\Big(\sum_{j\colon r_j\geq\alpha} x_j\Big)\Big(\sum_{i\colon r_i\geq\beta} x_i\Big)}{\sum_{j\colon r_j\geq\min(\alpha,\beta)} x_j} \\ &= c_4 \cdot \sum_{j\colon r_j\geq\max(\alpha,\beta)} x_j\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$0 \leq f = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \Big(\sum x_i A_i' c_i'\Big)_\alpha^t \Big(\sum x_i A_i'\Big)_{\alpha,\beta}^{-1} \Big(\sum x_i A_i' c_i'\Big)_\beta \leq c_4 \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \sum_{j\colon r_j\geq\max(\alpha,\beta)} x_j\,.$$

This is clearly bounded on H. This proves Theorem 3.2.2. □

Proof of Theorem 3.2.1. We start by noting that

$$P = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \sum x_i A_i' + B_{11} & B_{12} \\ \hline B_{21} & B_{22} \end{array}\right),$$

with B_{22} invertible. Moreover, as P is invertible, so is the Schur complement $\sum x_i A_i' + B_{11} - B_{12}B_{22}^{-1}B_{21}$ of B_{22} in P. If we put

$$Q = \left(\sum x_i A_i' + B_{11} - B_{12}B_{22}^{-1}B_{21}\right)^{-1}$$

then we get

$$P^{-1} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} Q & -QB_{12}B_{22}^{-1} \\ \hline -B_{22}^{-1}B_{21}Q & B_{22}^{-1} + B_{22}^{-1}B_{21}QB_{12}B_{22}^{-1} \end{array}\right). \tag{3.6}$$

Write $A = \sum x_i A_i'$ and $M = B_{11} - B_{12}B_{22}^{-1}B_{21}$ so that $Q = (A+M)^{-1}$. Recall that A is invertible, so that $Q = (\mathrm{Id}_r + A^{-1}M)^{-1}A^{-1}$.

Claim 3.7. *There exists a $\kappa' > \kappa$ such that the series*

$$A^{-1} - A^{-1}MA^{-1} + A^{-1}MA^{-1}MA^{-1} + \cdots + (-1)^m (A^{-1}M)^m A^{-1} + \cdots$$

converges to Q on $\mathbb{R}_{>\kappa'} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{k-1} \times K$.

Proof. The entries of the matrix M are continuous functions on the compact set K, hence bounded. Let c be the constant of Lemma 3.6, choose

$$\kappa' > \max(cr^2 \max(M_{\alpha\beta}), c, \kappa)$$

and put $\epsilon = cr^2 \max(M_{\alpha\beta})/\kappa'$. Note that $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Moreover, by Lemma 3.6 and the condition $x_1 \geq \kappa'$,

$$\left(|(A^{-1}M)^m A^{-1}|\right)_{\alpha\beta} \leq \frac{c}{x_1} \frac{(c \max(M_{\alpha\beta}) r^2)^m}{\kappa'^m} < \epsilon^m.$$

It follows that the series converges absolutely. By construction, the limit of the series is $(A+M)^{-1} = Q$ finishing the proof of the claim. □

Write $M_1 = (\mathrm{Id}_r + MA^{-1})^{-1}$ and $M_2 = (\mathrm{Id}_r + A^{-1}M)^{-1}$. Then $Q = A^{-1}M_1 = M_2 A^{-1}$. An argument similar to that of Claim 3.7 shows that the entries of M_1 and M_2 are bounded on the set $\mathbb{R}_{>\kappa'} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{k-1} \times K$.

We deduce from Lemma 3.6 that there is a constant c_2 such that

$$|Q_{\alpha\beta}| \leq \frac{c_2}{\sum_{j\colon r_j \geq \min(\alpha,\beta)} x_j}$$

on the same set. It follows that

$$\left|\left(Q(\sum x_i A_i' c_i')\right)_\beta\right| \quad \text{and} \quad \left|\left((\sum x_i A_i' c_i')^t Q\right)_\alpha\right|$$

are bounded on $\mathbb{R}_{>\kappa'} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{k-1} \times K$. Moreover, since $Q - A^{-1} = A^{-1}M_3A^{-1}$ with again M_3 having bounded entries, we deduce that there is another constant c_3 such that

$$\left|\left(Q - A^{-1}\right)_{\alpha\beta}\right| \leq \frac{c_3}{\left(\sum_{j\colon r_j \geq \alpha} x_j\right)\left(\sum_{i\colon r_i \geq \beta} x_i\right)},$$

and consequently

$$\left|\left(\sum x_i A_i' c_i'\right)^t \left(Q - A^{-1}\right)\left(\sum x_i A_i' c_i'\right)\right|$$

is bounded. Finally, to prove that $|\varphi - f|$ is bounded we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi - f = \left(\sum x_i A_i' c_i'\right)^t (Q - A^{-1}) \left(\sum x_i A_i' c_i'\right) + 2a_1^t Q \left(\sum x_i A_i' c_i'\right) \\ + a_1^t Q a_1 - 2a_2^t B_{22}^{-1} B_{21} Q \left(\sum x_i A_i' c_i'\right) \\ - 2a_2^t B_{22}^{-1} B_{21} Q a_1 + a_2^t (B_{22}^{-1} + B_{22}^{-1} B_{21} Q B_{12} B_{22}^{-1}) a_2\end{aligned}$$

and we use the previously obtained bounds. This proves Theorem 3.2.1. □

Proof of Theorem 3.2.3. From now on we assume that $k = 1$ so we have $\varphi\colon \mathbb{R}_{>\kappa} \times K \to \mathbb{R}$ and $f\colon \mathbb{R}_{>0} \to \mathbb{R}$. Explicitly,

$$\varphi(x_1, \lambda) = (A_1 x_1 c_1 + a)^t P^{-1} (A_1 x_1 c_1 + a)$$

with $P = A_1 x_1 + B$, and $f = c_1^t A_1 c_1 x_1$. Recall that we write $\varphi_0 = \varphi - f$. Put $w_0 = a - Bc_1$.

Lemma 3.8. *We have*

$$\varphi_0(x_1, \lambda) = 2a^t c_1 - c_1^t B c_1 + w_0^t P^{-1} w_0.$$

Proof. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_0(x_1, \lambda) &= (A_1 x_1 c_1 + a)^t P^{-1} (A_1 x_1 c_1 + a) - c_1^t A_1 c_1 x_1 \\ &= (w_0 + P c_1)^t P^{-1} (w_0 + P c_1) - c_1^t A_1 c_1 x_1 \\ &= w_0^t P^{-1} w_0 + 2c_1^t w_0 + c_1^t P c_1 - c_1^t A_1 c_1 x_1 \\ &= w_0^t P^{-1} w_0 + 2c_1^t a - c_1^t B c_1.\end{aligned}$$

□

We continue to assume that $k = 1$. It follows that A_1' is invertible.

Lemma 3.9. *In the above notation and with $k = 1$, we have*

$$P^{-1} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & B_{22}^{-1} \end{array}\right) + \frac{1}{x_1} \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A_1'^{-1} & -A_1'^{-1} B_{12} B_{22}^{-1} \\ \hline -B_{22}^{-1} B_{21} A_1'^{-1} & B_{22}^{-1} B_{21} A_1'^{-1} B_{12} B_{22}^{-1} \end{array}\right) + O(x_1^{-2})$$

and

$$P^{-1} A_1 = \frac{1}{x_1} \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 0 \\ \hline -B_{22}^{-1} B_{21} & 0 \end{array}\right) + O(x_1^{-2})$$

as $x_1 \to \infty$, where the implicit constants are uniform in K.

Proof. From equation (3.6) we obtain

$$P^{-1} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & B_{22}^{-1} \end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{c|c} Q & -Q B_{12} B_{22}^{-1} \\ \hline -B_{22}^{-1} B_{21} Q & B_{22}^{-1} B_{21} Q B_{12} B_{22}^{-1} \end{array}\right).$$

Also recall that $Q = (\mathrm{Id}_r + A^{-1} M)^{-1} A^{-1}$ with $A = A_1' x_1$ and M bounded. This yields $Q = x_1^{-1} A_1'^{-1} + O(x_1^{-2})$ as $x_1 \to \infty$. The first estimate readily follows. Upon recalling that

$$A_1 = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A_1' & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

the second estimate also follows. □

To finish the proof of Theorem 3.2.3, note that by combining Lemma 3.8 and Lemma 3.9 that

$$\varphi_0(x_1,\lambda) = 2a^t c_1 - c_1^t B c_1 + w_0^t \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & B_{22}^{-1} \end{array}\right) w_0 + O(x_1^{-1})$$

as $x_1 \to \infty$. From this it is immediate that φ_0 extends continuously to a function from $\overline{\mathbb{R}_{>\kappa}} \times K$ to $\mathbb{R}$. Next, from Lemma 3.8 we have

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_0}{\partial x_1} = -w_0^t P^{-1} A_1 P^{-1} w_0, \quad \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_0}{\partial x_1^2} = 2 w_0^t P^{-1} A_1 P^{-1} A_1 P^{-1} w_0.$$

Combining this with Lemma 3.9 we find the estimates

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_0}{\partial x_1} = O(x_1^{-2}), \quad \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_0}{\partial x_1^2} = O(x_1^{-3}),$$

completing the proof of Theorem 3.2.3. □

3.4. **On the recession function of a normlike function.** Let $f\colon \mathbb{R}^k_{>0} \to \mathbb{R}$ be the recession function of the normlike function φ associated to $((A_i),(c_i),a,B)$ as above. The purpose of this section is to list a number of useful properties of f.

Proposition 3.10. *The function f is convex, that is, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^k_{>0}$ and all $\lambda \in [0,1]$ we have $f(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1-\lambda) f(y)$.*

Proof. Example 3.4 on p. 90 of [4] states that the function $h_g\colon \mathbb{R}^g \times S_g^{++}(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $h_g(x,Y) = x^t Y^{-1} x$ is convex. The function $f\colon \mathbb{R}^k_{>0} \to \mathbb{R}$ is the composition of h_g with the linear map

$$\mathbb{R}^k_{>0} \to \mathbb{R}^g \times S_g^{++}(\mathbb{R}), \quad (x_1,\ldots,x_k) \mapsto \left(\sum_{i=1}^k x_i A_i' c_i' \ , \ \sum_{i=1}^k x_i A_i' \right).$$

Since the composition of a linear map followed by a convex function is again convex, we deduce that f is convex. □

Proposition 3.11. *The function f extends to a continuous function $\overline{f}\colon \mathbb{R}^k_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. The function $\overline{f}$ is homogeneous of weight one and convex.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.2.2 we know that the function f is bounded on the open standard simplex Δ^0. Define

$$\overline{\overline{f}}\colon \Delta \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

by the formula

$$\overline{\overline{f}}(x_1,\ldots,x_k) = \inf_{(p_l)_l \to (x_1,\ldots,x_k)} \operatorname{liminf}_{l\to\infty} f(p_l);$$

here the infimum is over sequences in Δ^0 tending to the point $(x_1,\ldots,x_k)$. This function $\overline{\overline{f}}$ is well-defined because f is bounded on Δ^0. It follows easily from the definition of $\overline{\overline{f}}$ that $\overline{\overline{f}}$ is convex and lower semi-continuous. Since Δ is a convex polytope, it follows from [20, Theorem 10.2] that $\overline{\overline{f}}$ is continuous. Now extend $\overline{\overline{f}}$ to $\mathbb{R}^k_{\geq 0} \setminus \{0\}$ by homogeneity. By sending in addition 0 to 0 we obtain the required continuous and convex function $\overline{f}\colon \mathbb{R}^k_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. □

We can make the function $\overline{f}$ explicit as follows. Let $I \subseteq \{1,\dots,k\}$ be any subset, and set $J = \{1,\dots,k\}\setminus I$. We consider the restriction of $\overline{f}$ to the subset $\mathbb{R}^I_{>0} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k_{\geq 0}$ given by setting x_j equal to zero for all $j \in J$. Let

$$r_I = \operatorname{rk}\left(\sum_{i\in I} x_i A_i\right) : x_i > 0,$$

and for $i \in I$ set

$$A_i = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A_i'' & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array}\right) \tag{3.7}$$

where A_i'' has size r_I, and similarly

$$c_i = \begin{pmatrix} c_i'' \\ \hline \star \end{pmatrix},$$

where c_i'' has length r_I.

Note that, if $I \neq \emptyset$, then $r_I \geq 1$. Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^J_{>0}$ be an arbitrary compact subset. Write $x_I = (x_i)_{i\in I}$ and $x_J = (x_j)_{j\in J}$. We define the function

$$f_I \colon \mathbb{R}^I_{>0} \times K \to \mathbb{R}\,, \quad (x_I; x_J) \mapsto f(x_1,\dots,x_k)\,.$$

Write

$$a(x_J) = \sum_{j\in J} x_j A_j' c_j'\,, \quad B(x_J) = \sum_{j\in J} A_j' x_j\,,$$

then we see that

$$f_I(x_I;x_J) = \left(\sum_{i\in I} x_i A_i' c_i' + a(x_J)\right)^t \left(\sum_{i\in I} x_i A_i' + B(x_J)\right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i\in I} x_i A_i' c_i' + a(x_J)\right)$$

and hence by Theorem 3.2 f_I has a recession function $\operatorname{rec} f_I \colon \mathbb{R}^I_{>0} \to \mathbb{R}$ which can be written

$$\operatorname{rec} f_I(x_I) = \left(\sum_{i\in I} x_i A_i'' c_i''\right)^t \left(\sum_{i\in I} x_i A_i''\right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i\in I} x_i A_i'' c_i''\right),$$

when $I \neq \emptyset$, and $\operatorname{rec} f_\emptyset = 0$. Note that $\operatorname{rec} f_I$ is independent of the choice of K. Also note that, by Theorem 3.2, $|f_I - \operatorname{rec} f_I|$ is bounded on $\mathbb{R}^I_{>0} \times K$.

Proposition 3.12. *Let $I \subseteq \{1,\dots,k\}$ be any subset. We have*

$$\overline{f}|_{\mathbb{R}^I_{>0}} = \operatorname{rec} f_I.$$

Proof. When $I = \emptyset$ the equality is trivially true. We assume that $I \neq \emptyset$. Choose $c \in \mathbb{R}^J_{>0}$ and $x_I \in \mathbb{R}^I_{>0}$ arbitrarily. By Theorem 3.2 there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ depending on c and x_I such that for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ we have

$$|(\operatorname{rec} f_I)(\lambda x_I) - f_I(\lambda x_I; c)| \leq \delta\,.$$

We deduce that for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ we have

$$|(\operatorname{rec} f_I)(x_I) - f(x_I, \frac{c}{\lambda})| \leq \frac{\delta}{\lambda}. \tag{3.8}$$

As $\overline{f}$ extends f continuously we have

$$\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} f(x_I, \frac{c}{\lambda}) = \overline{f}|_{\mathbb{R}^I_{>0}}(x_I)$$

independently of the choice of c. Combining with the bound (3.8), we find that

$$(\operatorname{rec} f_I)(x_I) = \overline{f}|_{\mathbb{R}^I_{>0}}(x_I),$$

as required. □

A special case of interest is when $|I| = 1$. For each $1 \leq i \leq k$, set

$$A_i = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A_i^{\mathrm{e}} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array}\right) \tag{3.9}$$

where A_i^{e} has size $r_i = \operatorname{rk} A_i$ and hence is positive definite; here e is short for "essential". Similarly set

$$c_i = \left(\begin{array}{c} c_i^{\mathrm{e}} \\ \hline \star \end{array}\right),$$

where c_i^{e} has length r_i. Define

$$\mu_i = c_i^t A_i c_i = (c_i^{\mathrm{e}})^t A_i^{\mathrm{e}} c_i^{\mathrm{e}}.$$

Then $\mu_i \geq 0$ and we have for all $x_i > 0$

$$\overline{f}(0, \ldots, 0, x_i, 0, \ldots, 0) = (\operatorname{rec} f_{\{i\}})(x_i) = x_i (c_i^{\mathrm{e}})^t (A_i^{\mathrm{e}})^t x_i^{-1} (A_i^{\mathrm{e}})^{-1} x_i A_i^{\mathrm{e}} c_i^{\mathrm{e}} = x_i \mu_i.$$

In particular, the function $\overline{f}(0, \ldots, 0, x_i, 0, \ldots, 0)$ is homogeneous linear in x_i, and

$$\mu_i = \overline{f}(0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0).$$

We call $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_k \geq 0$ the *coefficients* associated to φ.

4. Proofs of the main results

In this section we prove our main results. We also reprove Lear's result in our situation. We will continue to work with the "diagonal case" where we consider the pullback Poincaré bundle $\mathcal{P}_\nu$ associated to a single section ν of our family $\pi\colon Y \to X$. As was explained at the beginning of Section 2.3, by the biextension property of the Poincaré bundle this is sufficient for the purpose of proving the main results as stated in the introduction.

4.1. **Singularities of the biextension metric.** In this section we will prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Following Theorem 2.12, take

- a small enough $\epsilon > 0$,
- matrices
$$A_1, \ldots, A_k \in S_g(\mathbb{R}) \cap M_g(\mathbb{Z})$$
of positive rank,
- vectors
$$c_1, \ldots, c_k \in \mathbb{Q}^g$$
such that $A_i c_i \in \mathbb{Z}^g$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$,
- bounded holomorphic maps $\alpha\colon \Delta_\epsilon^n \to \mathbb{C}^g$ and $\psi\colon \Delta_\epsilon^n \to \mathbb{P}^g$,

such that the multi-valued period mapping

$$(\Omega, \delta)\colon U_\epsilon \cap X \to \mathcal{M} = \mathbb{H}_g \times \mathbb{C}^g \tag{4.1}$$

of the variation of mixed Hodge structures $\boldsymbol{H}(\nu)$ on U_ϵ is given by the formula

$$(\underline{q}) = (q_1, \ldots, q_n) \mapsto \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} A_j \frac{\log q_j}{2\pi i} + \psi(\underline{q}), \sum_{j=1}^{k} A_j c_j \frac{\log q_j}{2\pi i} + \alpha(\underline{q}) \right) \tag{4.2}$$

(recall that U_ϵ was defined in Section 1.3). Put $a = 2\pi \operatorname{Im}\alpha$, $B = 2\pi \operatorname{Im}\psi$, and define $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ via $\kappa = -\log\epsilon$. As above define the function $\varphi\colon \mathbb{R}^k_{>\kappa} \times \Delta^n_\epsilon \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ via

$$\varphi(x_1, \ldots, x_k; \underline{q}) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i A_i c_i + a \right)^t \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i A_i + B \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i A_i c_i + a \right).$$

Choose any $0 < \epsilon' < \epsilon$. The restriction of φ to $\mathbb{R}^k_{>\kappa} \times \overline{\Delta}^n_{\epsilon'}$ is then a normlike function of dimension k. Let $f\colon \mathbb{R}^k_{>0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be the associated recession function $f = \operatorname{rec}\varphi$. Recalling the explicit expression (3.3) for f, the conditions

$$A_i \in S_g(\mathbb{R}) \cap M_g(\mathbb{Z}), \quad A_i c_i \in \mathbb{Z}^g,$$

for each $i = 1, \ldots, k$ imply that f is the quotient of two homogeneous polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_k]$. In particular $f \in \mathbb{Q}(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$. It is clear that f is homogeneous of weight one, and by Proposition 3.10 the function f is convex when seen as a real-valued function on $\mathbb{R}^k_{>0}$.

Let s be a local generating section of $\mathcal{P}_\nu$ over $U_\epsilon \cap X$. Following Corollary 2.13 we have

$$-\log||s|| = -\log|h| + \varphi(-\log|q_1|, \ldots, -\log|q_k|; \underline{q})$$

on $U_\epsilon \cap X$ with h a meromorphic function on U_ϵ, holomorphic on $U_\epsilon \cap X$. As s is locally generating over $U_\epsilon \cap X$ we have that h has no zeroes or poles on $U_\epsilon \cap X$. Hence there is a linear form $l \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_k]$ and a holomorphic map $u\colon U_\epsilon \to \mathbb{C}^*$ such that

$$-\log|h| = l(-\log|q_1|, \ldots, -\log|q_k|) + \log|u|$$

on $U_\epsilon \cap X$. The image of $\overline{U}_{\epsilon'}$ under the map u is compact.

Put $f_s = f + l$ in $\mathbb{Q}(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$. Then f_s is again homogeneous of weight one and convex as a function on $\mathbb{R}^k_{>0}$. Our claim is that f_s satisfies all the requirements of Theorem 1.1. We need to show first of all that $-\log||s|| - f_s(-\log|q_1|, \ldots, -\log|q_k|)$ is bounded on $\overline{U}_{\epsilon'} \cap X$ and extends continuously over $\overline{U}_{\epsilon'} \setminus D^{\mathrm{sing}}$.

In order to see this, put $\varphi_0 = \varphi - f$ on $\mathbb{R}^k_{>\kappa} \times \Delta^n_\epsilon$. Then

$$-\log||s||(\underline{q}) = f_s(-\log|q_1|, \ldots, -\log|q_k|) + \log|u| + \varphi_0(-\log|q_1|, \ldots, -\log|q_k|; \underline{q})$$

on $U_\epsilon \cap X$. Note that $\log|u|$ extends in a continuous and bounded manner over the whole of $\overline{U}_{\epsilon'}$. We are reduced to showing that $\varphi_0(-\log|q_1|, \ldots, -\log|q_k|; \underline{q})$ is bounded on $\overline{U}_{\epsilon'} \cap X$ and extends continuously over $\overline{U}_{\epsilon'} \setminus D^{\mathrm{sing}}$.

For this we invoke Theorem 3.2.1. This readily gives the boundedness of φ_0 via the map

$$(-\log|\cdot|, \mathrm{id})\colon (\Delta^*_\epsilon)^k \times \Delta^n_\epsilon \to \mathbb{R}^k_{>\kappa} \times \Delta^n_\epsilon.$$

Let $p \in (D \setminus D^{\mathrm{sing}}) \cap \overline{U}_{\epsilon'}$. Up to a change in the order of the variables, we can assume that the coordinates of p satisfy $q_1 = 0$, $q_i \neq 0$ for $i = 2, \ldots, N$. We take a small polydisk $V_{\epsilon''} \subset \overline{U}_{\epsilon'}$ of small radius ϵ'' with center at p such that $V_{\epsilon''} \cap X$ can

be identified with $\Delta^*_{\epsilon''} \times \Delta^{n-1}_{\epsilon''}$ and hence $V_{\epsilon''} \cap D$ can be identified with the divisor $q_1 = 0$ on $\Delta^n_{\epsilon''}$. Write

$$\underline{r} = (r_2, \dots, r_k) = (-\log|q_2|, \dots, -\log|q_k|)$$

for $\underline{q} \in V_{\epsilon''}$; then $\underline{r}$ can be assumed to move through a compact subset $K' \subset \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$. Put $K'' = K' \times \overline{\Delta}^n_{\epsilon'}$. We define functions $\varphi' \colon \mathbb{R}_{>\kappa} \times K'' \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and $f' \colon \mathbb{R}_{>\kappa} \times K'' \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ via

$$\varphi'(x_1; \underline{r}, \underline{q}) = \varphi(x_1, \underline{r}; \underline{q}), \quad f'(x_1; \underline{r}) = f(x_1, \underline{r}).$$

Then both φ', f' are normlike of dimension one. Write

$$A_1 = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A_1' & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array}\right), \quad A_1' = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A_1'' & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array}\right),$$

with A_1' positive semidefinite of size r, and $A_1'' = A_1^{\mathrm{e}}$ positive definite of size and rank r_1. Then it is readily verified that both $\operatorname{rec} f'$ and $\operatorname{rec} \varphi'$ are equal to the linear function $x_1\mu_1 = x_1 c_1^t A_1 c_1 = \overline{f}(x_1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Note that

$$\varphi_0(-\log|q_1|, \dots, -\log|q_k|; \underline{q}) = \varphi'(-\log|q_1|; \underline{r}, \underline{q}) - f'(-\log|q_1|; \underline{r})$$

on $V_{\epsilon'} \cap X$. We are done once we show that $\varphi' - f'$ extends continuously over $\overline{\mathbb{R}_{>\kappa}} \times K''$. Following Theorem 3.2.3 we have that both $\varphi' - \operatorname{rec} \varphi'$ and $f' - \operatorname{rec} f'$ extend continuously over $\overline{\mathbb{R}_{>\kappa}} \times K''$. As $\operatorname{rec} \varphi' = \operatorname{rec} f'$ we find the required extension result.

The second item of Theorem 1.1 is clear. As f_s is up to a linear form the recession function of a normlike function we have that f_s is convex, and by Proposition 3.11 that f_s extends as a convex, continuous homogeneous weight one function $\overline{f}_s \colon \mathbb{R}^k_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}$. This finally proves items (3) and (4) of Theorem 1.1. □

4.2. **The Lear extension made explicit.** Write $U = U_{\epsilon'}$, $V = V_{\epsilon''}$ to reduce notation. A closer look at the above proof shows that a Lear extension $\left[\mathcal{P}_\nu, ||-||\right]_U$ of $\mathcal{P}_\nu$ exists: let $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k \in \mathbb{Q}$ be the coefficients of φ (see end of Section 3.4 for the definition), and $\nu_i = \operatorname{ord}_{D_i} h$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, and $a_i = \mu_i + \nu_i$. Here D_i is the divisor on $\overline{X}$ given locally on U by $q_i = 0$. We obtain from the above proof that

$$-\log||s||(\underline{q}) = -a_1 \log|q_1| + \psi_1(\underline{q}) \tag{4.3}$$

on $V \cap X$ where $\psi_1(\underline{q})$ extends continuously over V. This is precisely what is needed to show the extendability of $\mathcal{P}_\nu|_{V \cap X}$ as a continuously metrized $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundle over V. Varying $\underline{p}$ over $D \setminus D^{\mathrm{sing}}$ we get the existence of the desired continuous extension of $\mathcal{P}_\nu$ over $\overline{X} \setminus D^{\mathrm{sing}}$. This reproves Lear's result in our situation.

We can be more precise here. Let s be a rational section of $\mathcal{P}_\nu$ on X. Then s can also be seen as a rational section of $\left[\mathcal{P}, ||-||\right]_{\overline{X}}$. We can compute the global $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $\operatorname{div}_{\overline{X}}(s)$ that represents the Lear extension $\left[\mathcal{P}, ||-||\right]_{\overline{X}}$ of $\mathcal{P}$ over $\overline{X}$. We do this after a little digression.

We say that $p \in \overline{X}$ is of depth k if p is on precisely k of the irreducible divisors D_i. The set Σ_k of points of depth k on $\overline{X}$ is a locally closed subset of $\overline{X}$ and for $k \geq 1$ they yield a stratification of $D = \overline{X} \setminus X$. For $p \in \Sigma_k$ take a coordinate neighborhood $U \subset \overline{X}$ such that $p = (0, \dots, 0)$ and $D \cap X$ is given by the equation $q_1 \cdots q_k = 0$. Assume that p is away from $\overline{\operatorname{div}_X(s)}$, the closure in $\overline{X}$ of the support of the divisor $\operatorname{div}_X(s)$ of s on X. Shrinking U if necessary, we may assume that

$\overline{U} \cap \overline{\operatorname{div}_X(s)} = \emptyset$. Then Theorem 1.1 yields an associated homogeneous weight-one function $f_{p,s} \in \mathbb{Q}(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$.

Lemma 4.1. *The map $\Sigma_k \setminus \overline{\operatorname{div}_X(s)} \to \mathbb{Q}(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$ given by $p \mapsto f_{p,s}$ is locally constant.*

Proof. Take p, U as above and let $y = (0, \ldots, 0, y_{k+1}, \ldots, y_n) \in U$ be another point of depth k. Let $q_i' = q_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$, $q_i' = q_i - y_i$ for $i = k+1, \ldots, n$. Then $\underline{q}'$ are coordinates centered around y and we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\log||s|| &= f_{p,s}(-\log|q_1|, \ldots, -\log|q_k|) + \psi_p(\underline{q}) \\ &= f_{y,s}(-\log|q_1'|, \ldots, -\log|q_k'|) + \psi_y(\underline{q}') \\ &= f_{y,s}(-\log|q_1|, \ldots, -\log|q_k|) + \psi_y(q_i - y_i) \end{aligned}$$

on $U \cap X$ with ψ_p, ψ_y bounded on $U \cap X$. We find that $f_{p,s} - f_{y,s}$ is bounded on $\mathbb{R}^k_{>\kappa}$ and, being homogeneous of weight one, it vanishes identically. □

In order to compute the divisor $\operatorname{div}_{\overline{X}}(s)$ that represents the Lear extension of $\mathcal{P}_\nu$ over $\overline{X}$ we are interested in the behavior of the function $f_s \colon \Sigma_1 \setminus \overline{\operatorname{div}_X(s)} \to \mathbb{Q}(x)$ obtained from Lemma 4.1 by restricting to $k = 1$. Note that $\Sigma_1 = D \setminus D^{\mathrm{sing}}$. Let $D = \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^d D_\alpha$ be the decomposition of D into irreducible components. Take any irreducible component D_α. Since $D_\alpha \setminus (D^{\mathrm{sing}} \cup \overline{\operatorname{div}_X(s)})$ is connected, we deduce from Lemma 4.1 that the function

$$f_{s,\alpha} \colon D_\alpha \setminus (D^{\mathrm{sing}} \cup \overline{\operatorname{div}_X(s)}) \to \mathbb{Q}(x)$$

is constant. Its value is a homogeneous linear function which we write as $f_{s,\alpha}(x) = a_\alpha x$, with $a_\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$. In this notation we find:

Corollary 4.2. *Let s be any nonzero rational section of $\mathcal{P}$ on X. Let $L = \left[\mathcal{P}, ||-||\right]_{\overline{X}}$ be the Lear extension of $\mathcal{P}$ over $\overline{X}$. Then L is represented by the $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor*

$$\operatorname{div}_{\overline{X}}(s) = \overline{\operatorname{div}_X(s)} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^d a_\alpha D_\alpha$$

on $\overline{X}$.

4.3. **Local integrability.** Our next task is to investigate $\partial\bar{\partial}\log||s||$ over curves.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We use the estimates from Theorem 3.2.3. We assume $k = n = 1$, but otherwise keep the notation and assumptions from Section 4.1. In particular we have the normlike function $\varphi(x_1, q_1)$ on $\mathbb{R}_{>\kappa} \times \Delta_\epsilon$ and the associated recession function $f = \operatorname{rec}\varphi$ on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Put $\varphi_0 = \varphi - f$. Put $\varphi_1(q_1) = \varphi_0(-\log|q_1|, q_1)$. By Corollary 2.13 on $U_\epsilon \cap X$, noting that f is linear, we have

$$-\log||s||(q_1) = -\log|h|(q_1) + \varphi_1(q_1)$$

for some meromorphic function h. Note that

$$\partial\varphi_1 = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial\varphi_0}{\partial x_1}\frac{dq_1}{q_1} + \frac{\partial\varphi_0}{\partial q_1}dq_1.$$

Here $\partial\varphi_0/\partial q_1$ is smooth and bounded on $\overline{U_{\epsilon'}}$, and by Theorem 3.2.3 we have a constant c_1 such that

$$\left|\frac{\partial\varphi_0}{\partial x_1}\right| \leq c_1 \cdot x_1^{-2}.$$

Hence for a smooth vector field T with bounded coefficients we find a constant c_2 such that

$$|\partial\varphi_1(T)| \leq c_2 \cdot \frac{1}{(-\log|q_1|)^2|q_1|}$$

on $U_\epsilon \cap X$. A similar argument yields

$$|\bar\partial\varphi_1(T)| \leq c_2 \cdot \frac{1}{(-\log|q_1|)^2|q_1|}$$

on $U_\epsilon \cap X$. In particular, there is a constant c_3 such that

$$\left\| \int_{\partial U_\epsilon} \partial\varphi_1 \right\| \leq c_3 \frac{\epsilon}{(\log\epsilon)^2\epsilon}.$$

Thus the residue $\mathrm{res}_0(\partial\varphi_1)$ of $\partial\varphi_1$ at zero is zero.

Next, there exists a smooth $(1,1)$-form ζ on U_ϵ such that

$$\partial\bar\partial\varphi_1 = \frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial^2\varphi_0}{\partial x_1^2}\frac{1}{|q_1|^2} dq_1 d\overline{q_1} + \zeta\,.$$

By Theorem 3.2.3 we have a constant c_4 such that

$$\left|\frac{\partial^2\varphi_0}{\partial x_1^2}\right| \leq c_4 \cdot x_1^{-3}\,.$$

Hence for smooth vector fields T, U with bounded coefficients we find a constant c_5 and an estimate

$$|\partial\bar\partial\varphi_1(T,U)| \leq c_5 \cdot \frac{1}{(-\log|q_1|)^3|q_1|^2}$$

on $U_\epsilon \cap X$. This shows that $\partial\bar\partial\varphi_1$ is locally integrable on U_ϵ. □

4.4. **Effectivity of the height jump divisor.** In this section we prove Theorem 1.5. We continue again with the notation as in Section 4.1. In particular we have $U = U_\epsilon$, s a locally generating section of $\mathcal{P}_\nu$ on $U \cap X$, and $f_s\colon \mathbb{R}^k_{>0} \to \mathbb{R}$ the associated homogeneous weight one function such that

$$-\log\|s\| - f_s(-\log|q_1|, \ldots, -\log|q_k|)$$

is bounded on $U \cap X$ and extends continuously over $\overline{X} \setminus D^{\mathrm{sing}}$. Moreover f_s extends as a convex homogeneous weight one function $\overline{f}_s\colon \mathbb{R}^k_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}$ (cf. Theorem 1.1). It is clear that a convex homogeneous weight one function is subadditive, hence we have the estimate

$$\overline{f}_s(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \overline{f}_s(0, \ldots, 0, x_i, 0, \ldots, 0) \tag{4.4}$$

on $\mathbb{R}^k_{\geq 0}$.

Now let $\overline{\phi}\colon \overline{C} \to \overline{X}$ be a map from a smooth curve, sending a point 0 in $\overline{C}$ to $p = (0, \ldots, 0)$, and such that there exists an open neighbourhood V of 0 in $\overline{C}$ such that $\overline{\phi}$ maps V into U. We also assume that $\overline{\phi}$ does not map V into D. Then $\overline{\phi}$ is given locally at $0 \in \overline{C}$ by

$$\overline{\phi}(t) = (t^{m_1}u_1, \ldots, t^{m_i}u_i, \ldots)\,,$$

where t is a local coordinate on $\overline{C}$ at 0, the m_i are non-negative integers, and u_i are units. Write ϕ for the restriction of $\overline{\phi}$ to $V \setminus \{0\}$.

Proposition 4.3. *We have an equality of $\mathbb{Q}$-divisors on V:*

$$\operatorname{div}(\phi^* s)\,|_V = \overline{f}_s(m_1, \ldots, m_k) \cdot [0]\,,$$

where $\phi^* s$ is viewed as a rational section of the Lear extension $\left[\phi^*(\mathcal{P}_\nu, ||-||)\right]_V$.

Proof. It suffices to show that

$$-\log||\phi^* s|| \sim -\overline{f}_s(m_1, \ldots, m_k) \log|t|$$

on $V \backslash \{0\}$, where $\sim$ denotes that the difference is bounded and extends continuously over V. As by Theorem 1.1

$$-\log||s|| - f_s(-\log|q_1|, \ldots, -\log|q_k|)$$

is bounded on $U \cap X$ we obtain the boundedness by pullback along ϕ. The continuous extendability over V then follows from the boundedness combined with the existence of a Lear extension for $\phi^*(\mathcal{P}_\nu, ||-||)$. □

Proposition 4.4. *We have an equality of divisors on V:*

$$\phi^*(\operatorname{div}_{\overline{X}}(s)) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \overline{f}_s(0, \ldots, 0, m_i, 0, \ldots, 0) \cdot [0]\,,$$

where s is viewed as a rational section of the Lear extension $\left[\mathcal{P}_\nu, ||-||\right]_U$.

Proof. This follows immediately from Corollary 4.2. □

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Combining Propositions 4.3 and 4.4 one sees that the line bundle

$$\left[\phi^*(\mathcal{P}_\nu, ||-||)\right]_{\overline{C}}^{\otimes -1} \otimes \overline{\phi}^* \left[\mathcal{P}_\nu, ||-||\right]_{\overline{X}}$$

has a canonical non-zero rational section, whose divisor is

$$\left(-\overline{f}_s(m_1, \ldots, m_k) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \overline{f}_s(0, \ldots, 0, m_i, 0, \ldots, 0)\right) \cdot [0]$$

on V, which is indeed independent of the choice of rational section s. This divisor is effective by the subadditivity of f_s expressed by inequality (4.4). In particular the section is global. □

References

[1] O. Amini, S. Bloch, J. Burgos Gil, J. Fresán, *Feynman amplitudes and limits of heights*. Preprint `arxiv:1512.04862`.

[2] M. Asakura, *Motives and algebraic de Rham cohomology*. In: The arithmetic and geometry of algebraic cycles (Banff, AB, 1998), 133–154, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes 24, 2000.

[3] O. Biesel, D. Holmes, R. de Jong, *Néron models and the height jump divisor*. Preprint, `arxiv:1412.8207`.

[4] S. Boyd, L. Vandenberghe, *Convex Optimization*. Cambridge University Press, 2009.

[5] P. Brosnan, G. Pearlstein, *Jumps in the archimedean height*. Unpublished manuscript, 2006.

[6] J. I. Burgos Gil, J. Kramer, U. Kühn, *The singularities of the invariant metric on the line bundle of Jacobi forms*. Preprint, `arxiv:1405.3075`. To appear in: M. Kerr and G. Pearlstein (eds.), Recent Advances in Hodge Theory, Cambridge Univ. Press.

[7] P. Deligne, *Le déterminant de la cohomologie*. In: Current trends in arithmetical algebraic geometry (Arcata, Calif., 1985), Contemp. Math. 67 (1987), 93–177.

[8] R. Hain, *Biextensions and heights associated to curves of odd genus*. Duke Math. J. 61 (1990), 859–898.

[9] R. Hain, *Normal functions and the geometry of moduli spaces of curves*. In: G. Farkas and I. Morrison (eds.), Handbook of Moduli, Volume I. Advanced Lectures in Mathematics, Volume XXIV, International Press, Boston, 2013.
[10] T. Hayama, G. Pearlstein, *Asymptotics of degenerations of mixed Hodge structures*. Preprint, `arxiv:1403.1971`. To appear in Adv. Math.
[11] D. Holmes, R. de Jong, *Asymptotics of the Néron height pairing*. Math. Res. Lett. 22 no. 5 (2015), 1337–1371.
[12] K. Kato, C. Nakayama, S. Usui, *SL(2)-orbit theorem for degeneration of mixed Hodge structure*. J. Algebraic Geom. 17 (2008), no. 3, 401–479.
[13] D. Lear, *Extensions of normal functions and asymptotics of the height pairing*. PhD thesis, University of Washington, 1990.
[14] G. Pearlstein, C. Peters, *Differential geometry of the mixed Hodge metric*. Preprint, `arxiv:1407.4082`.
[15] G. Pearlstein, SL_2*-orbits and degenerations of mixed Hodge structure*. J. Diff. Geometry 74 (2006), 1–67.
[16] G. Pearlstein, *Variations of mixed Hodge structure, Higgs fields, and quantum cohomology*. manuscripta math. 102 (2000), no. 3, 269–310.
[17] M. Saito, *Modules de Hodge polarisables*. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 24 (1988), 849–995.
[18] M. Saito, *Mixed Hodge modules*. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 26 (1990), 221-333.
[19] C. Peters, J. Steenbrink, *Mixed Hodge structures*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, 52. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
[20] R. T. Rockafellar, *Convex analysis*, Princeton Math. Series, vol. 28, Princeton Univ. Press, 1970.
[21] J. Steenbrink, S. Zucker, *Variation of mixed Hodge structure. I*. Invent. Math. 80 (1985), no. 3, 489–542.

Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas (CSIC-UAM-UCM-UCM3). Calle Nicolás Cabrera 15, Campus UAM, Cantoblanco, 28049 Madrid, Spain.
E-mail address: `burgos@icmat.es`

Mathematical Institute, Leiden University, PO Box 9512, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands
E-mail address: `holmesdst@math.leidenuniv.nl`

Mathematical Institute, Leiden University, PO Box 9512, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands
E-mail address: `rdejong@math.leidenuniv.nl`